Wednesday, May 24, 2023

Attendees

Voting: Brad Morrison, Allyson Beall King, Timothy Clancy, Jeroen Struben, Sara Metcalf, Camilo Olaya, Shayne Gary, Saras Chung, Raafat Zaini, Diana Fisher, Hyunjung Kim, Asmeret Naugle, Bob Eberlein, Paul Newton, Thomas Wittig, Juan Pablo Torres, Krys Stave

Non-voting: Paulo Gonçalves, Billy Schoenberg, Raquel Buzogany, Rebecca Niles

Agenda

0:05 Welcome and Agenda (Brad)

0:05 Motions

Appointment for Finance Committee - Sara Metcalf

0:10 Dashboard (Raquel)

0:10 Executive Director Update - Bibliography (Raquel)

0:25 VP Updates

0:25 Policy Council Effectiveness (Brad)

0:10 Nominating Committee (Paulo)

Adjournment

Minutes

President Brad Morrison brought the meeting to order and introduced the agenda. He highlighted that new features are available for PC members on the dedicated webpage.

Allyson Beall moved to approve the April PC meeting minutes. Diana Fisher seconded. Motion passed.

Chair of the finance committee, Eliot Rich, moves to appoint Sara Metcalf. Sara confirmed to accept the nomination. Motion passed.

Raquel Buzogany shared the latest updates on the dashboard. Starting from a generalized feeling that the dashboard had gotten too large, the metrics were divided into three categories (BSC, overview of the field, and operational metrics). This prompted discussion on how well the Society goals could be identified using the Balanced Scorecard and the position of the financial goals.

For the executive director update, Raquel presented the ongoing bibliography effort clarifying the objectives, current developments, and ongoing or future initiatives. Discussion revolved around how to identify the best work, criteria, and issues.

Chair of the nominating committee, Paulo Gonçalves, updated the PC on the nominating process. At this point the committee is reaching out to candidates. The first choice VP candidates have declined and therefore the process was further delayed. The committee acknowledged the time pressure, with Bob raising concerns about the timeline. Brad clarified that if it follows a similar pattern to last year, there would

be no further nominations, ensuring a safe conclusion of the process by the end of the year. Bob suggested rewriting the nomination process, while Krys asked about the criteria for nominations. Paulo proposed posting the criteria as a report. Krys emphasized the need for time to work with the incoming VP on continuing initiatives, and Paulo acknowledged the importance of a transition period for resilience and robustness. The idea of unbundling the slate was also mentioned for further consideration.

VP Professional Practice, Saras Chung, shared that the survey development of a survey that aims to gauge interest among practitioners has been rekindled. She also mentioned a networking opportunity for practitioners, which will kick off with a roundtable gathering during the conference. The interview effort is taking longer than anticipated. The submission window for cases was successful and a number of new applications are expected to be published in the coming months. Brad inquired about potential overlaps with other initiatives within the Society, to which Saras acknowledged the possibility and expressed awareness of the situation.

VP EPresence, Bob Eberlein, updated the members on an improvement on the website speed, which unfortunately led to a weaker integration with other platforms. He is also working on features such as Woo Commerce and canvas registrations. Other efforts such as creating a workable membership database and improving record keeping will probably outlast the VP position.

VP Membership, Asmeret Naugle,reported on their efforts to enhance understanding and foster stronger connections between different segments of the membership. She shared that the career panels have proven an effective medium to engage the community. Asmeret also mentioned that she will not move the potential student membership initiative forward.

President, Brad Morrison, introduced a discussion on PC effectiveness. He shared a pulse check asking "In you opinion, how effective are the PC decision making processes?" The results can be seen below. Nobody chose the most happy face, which clearly shows that this is a topic worth discussing.