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## Executive Summary

This report provides an overview of the System Dynamics Society demographics for 2009 and Diversity Committee activities. Total membership continued to decline from a peak of 1,152 in 2007 down to 1,043 in 2009. The pattern of decline was consistent with previous years. The number of women remained stable and currently represents approximately $16 \%$ of the membership. The age distribution of society membership remains relatively stable. Gender diversity at the conferences continues to lead membership with women representing nearly $22 \%$ of attendees at the Albuquerque conference. Students represent $27 \%$ of the overall membership with $62 \%$ of students enrolled in graduate programs.

While income data is collected as part of the membership dues structure, the data has not been analyzed due to its members' sensitivity about disclosing income. It is uncertain whether the current dues structure has been effective. It is recommended that the Policy Council sanction a survey study to examine 1) the income barriers to membership and conference attendance, 2) the acceptability and benefits of the current policy among members, and 3 ) the acceptability and perceived benefit of potential alternative policies.

The Diversity Committee continues to run a membership roundtable at the annual conference. Other initiatives include improving the integration of online resources with the discussion forum, and developing Access reports on the diversity of membership and conference attendance.

## Overall Membership Demographics and Trends

Total membership continued declined, dropping from the peak of 1,043 members 2009 (see Figure 1). However, year-on-year tracking of membership renewals suggests that membership will begin to increase in 2010. Based on available information, the number of men in the System Dynamics Society declined while the number of women remains relatively stable.

Figure 1 Membership by Year and Gender


## Membership by Gender and Year

Figure 2 shows the composition of membership by gender and year using several estimates, including the 2004 report to the Policy Council based on gender imputation from names, 2005 membership survey, and data from the revised membership forms asking for demographic information. The number and percentage of women members has plateaued at approximately $16 \%$ (see Figure 2 and Table 1). Reporting of gender on membership forms has continued to increase with $88.6 \%$ of members reporting gender (see Table 1).

Figure 2 Composition of Membership by Gender and Year as Percentage


Table 1 Membership by Gender and Year

| Gender | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | $2010^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women ${ }^{1}$ | 33 | 38 | 49 | 60 | 69 | 99 | 124 | 142 | 151 | 147 | 141 |
|  | 9.4\% | 9.5\% | 10.5\% | 11.2\% | 11.6\% | 13.4\% | 14.0\% | 14.9\% | 16.0\% | 15.9\% | 16.2\% |
| Men ${ }^{1}$ | 317 | 363 | 417 | 478 | 526 | 638 | 763 | 813 | 790 | 777 | 728 |
|  | 90.6\% | 90.5\% | 89.5\% | 88.8\% | 88.4\% | 86.6\% | 86.0\% | 85.1\% | 84.0\% | 84.1\% | 83.8\% |
| Unknown ${ }^{2}$ | 327 | 318 | 332 | 342 | 339 | 313 | 183 | 197 | 163 | 119 | 108 |
|  | 48.3\% | 44.2\% | 41.6\% | 38.9\% | 36.3\% | 29.8\% | 17.1\% | 17.1\% | 14.8\% | 11.4\% | 11.1\% |
| Total | 677 | 719 | 798 | 880 | 934 | 1050 | 1070 | 1152 | 1104 | 1043 | 977 |

${ }^{1}$ Reported by frequency and as a percent of known gender. ${ }^{2}$ Reported by frequency and as a percent of total membership. ${ }^{3}$ Based on membership data as of June 25, 2010.

## Membership by Age

Membership tends to be evenly distributed between ages 30 and 59, but lagging in the under 30 groups.

## Conference Attendance by Gender

The proportion of women attending the Albuquerque conference in 2009 decreased from the Athens conference in 2008, but increased slightly from the Boston in 2007.

## Students

Students represented nearly 27\% of the membership in 2009, with $62 \%$ of the students being enrolled in a graduate degree program (see Table 4). However, this distribution varies considerably by age and year. Older students tend to be enrolled in nongraduate degree seeking programs while younger students tend to be enrolled in graduate and undergraduate programs.

## Income

Although the membership renewal form has information about income, this variable has been controversial since its introduction in 2005. In contrast, few if any comments have been expressed about the other variables being collected. Income data have therefore not been analyzed as part of this report due to members' sensitivity about income data and questions about the reliability of data.

However, income is a factor in people joining the System Dynamics Society and attending the annual conference. The System Dynamics Society has made numerous efforts to address barriers to attending the conference through student scholarships. The Society has also introduced sliding scale membership fees. These efforts, while intended to increase diversity of the membership, have also caused controversy without knowing if the policies have been effective at reducing barriers. It is therefore recommended that the Policy Council sanction a survey study to examine 1) the income barriers to Society membership and conference attendance, 2) the acceptability and benefits of the current policy among members, and 3) the acceptability and perceived benefit of potential alternative policies.

## Diversity Committee Activities for 2010

The Diversity Committee continues to host a roundtable discussion at the annual conference to solicit feedback from members. The Diversity committee also plans to improve the integration of online resources into the discussion forum and improve the systematic reporting of diversity data through the development of reports in Access.

Table 4 Membership by Age, Student Status, and Year

| Age | Year | PhD or masters students | Total students | Graduate students (PHD and masters) as percent of all students | Students as percent of membership | Graduate students (PHD and masters) as percent of membership |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 25 | 2005 | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
|  | 2006 | 8 | 10 | 80\% | 83\% | 67\% |
|  | 2007 | 9 | 11 | 82\% | 85\% | 69\% |
|  | 2008 | 7 | 12 | 58\% | 86\% | 50\% |
|  | 2009 | 7 | 15 | 47\% | 100\% | 47\% |
|  | 2010 | 4 | 11 | 36\% | 100\% | 36\% |
| 25 to 29 | 2005 | 10 | 12 | 83\% | 46\% | 38\% |
|  | 2006 | 19 | 21 | 90\% | 57\% | 51\% |
|  | 2007 | 26 | 35 | 74\% | 67\% | 50\% |
|  | 2008 | 25 | 35 | 71\% | 66\% | 47\% |
|  | 2009 | 31 | 42 | 74\% | 70\% | 52\% |
|  | 2010 | 34 | 46 | 74\% | 74\% | 55\% |
| 30 to 39 | 2005 | 28 | 44 | 64\% | 29\% | 19\% |
|  | 2006 | 44 | 70 | 63\% | 35\% | 22\% |
|  | 2007 | 47 | 79 | 59\% | 37\% | 22\% |
|  | 2008 | 50 | 80 | 63\% | 39\% | 24\% |
|  | 2009 | 46 | 66 | 70\% | 35\% | 25\% |
|  | 2010 | 50 | 83 | 60\% | 43\% | 26\% |
| 40 to 49 | 2005 | 16 | 36 | 44\% | 19\% | 9\% |
|  | 2006 | 23 | 50 | 46\% | 22\% | 10\% |
|  | 2007 | 28 | 60 | 47\% | 25\% | 12\% |
|  | 2008 | 24 | 50 | 48\% | 20\% | 10\% |
|  | 2009 | 33 | 51 | 65\% | 23\% | 15\% |
|  | 2010 | 29 | 56 | 52\% | 27\% | 14\% |
| 50 to 59 | 2005 | 15 | 36 | 42\% | 17\% | 7\% |
|  | 2006 | 16 | 43 | 37\% | 18\% | 7\% |
|  | 2007 | 21 | 49 | 43\% | 18\% | 8\% |
|  | 2008 | 20 | 45 | 44\% | 19\% | 8\% |
|  | 2009 | 23 | 43 | 53\% | 18\% | 10\% |
|  | 2010 | 23 | 36 | 64\% | 16\% | 10\% |
| Totals | 2005 | 78 | 149 | 52\% | 20\% | 10\% |
|  | 2006 | 118 | 215 | 55\% | 24\% | 13\% |
|  | 2007 | 141 | 256 | 55\% | 26\% | 14\% |
|  | 2008 | 136 | 244 | 56\% | 26\% | 14\% |
|  | 2009 | 151 | 244 | 62\% | 27\% | 17\% |
|  | 2010 | 151 | 253 | 60\% | 29\% | 18\% |

