

Modeling the Impact of Staff Turnover on Productivity of the Screening System in Critical Care Settings

Fatima Sadjadpour¹, Niyousha Hosseinichimeh¹, Lenore Jarvis^{2,4},
Sofia Perazzo^{3,4}, Lamia Soghier^{3,4}

¹ Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, VA, USA

² Division of Emergency Medicine, Children's National Hospital, Washington, DC, USA

³ Department of Neonatology, Children's National Hospital, Washington, DC, USA

⁴ School of Medicine and Health Sciences, George Washington University, Washington, DC, USA.

Corresponding author's email address: fsadjadpour@vt.edu

Keywords

Critical Care Setting, Screening System, Burnout, Turnover, Productivity, System Dynamics

Funding Source

This work was funded under grant number R18HS029458 from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

Background

Staff burnout and turnover presents a persistent and complex challenge in healthcare, particularly in critical care settings such as Neonatal Intensive Care Units (NICU) and Pediatric Emergency Departments (PED) (Adriaenssens et al., 2015, Bresesti et al., 2020, Choi et al., 2019, Kelly et al., 2021). High turnover disrupts workflows, reduces staff experience levels, threatens the continuity and efficiency of screening systems designed to identify and support at-risk patients (Hayes et al., 2006), and increase operational costs (Duffield et al., 2014). While prior research has documented the negative consequences of burnout (Wang et al., 2020, Chen et al., 2019, Zhang and Feng, 2011), work intensity and turnover (Hancock et al., 2013, Park and Shaw, 2013, De Winne et al., 2019), little attention has been given to modeling how workforce instability dynamically affects system productivity over time. In particular, the different pathways that burnout and turnover can affect productivity and key outcomes remain underexplored. Although some system dynamics studies have explored how factors such as workload and burnout influence each other over time through feedback loops and, in turn, affect staff wellbeing and work quality (Farid et al., 2020), the relationship between burnout and turnover is generally addressed conceptually rather than quantified within a dynamic modeling framework (Homer, 1985).

Objective

This study addresses that gap by developing a system dynamics simulation model to examine the effects of staff turnover on the performance of a hospital-based screening system. The primary outcome variables were the number of caregivers approached and screened per month—key indicators of system effectiveness in delivering timely mental health support.

Methods

We applied a participatory group model building (GMB) approach to construct the system's causal structure in collaboration with stakeholders from the Children's National Hospital in Washington D.C (Sadjadpour et al.). Through GMB sessions, we identified major feedback loops related to hiring, experience accumulation, turnover, and productivity. The model was calibrated using 48 months of empirical data from the hospital's Perinatal Mood and Anxiety Disorders (PMAD) screening program and used to test a range of intervention scenarios.

Results

Seven intervention strategies were simulated including turnover-induced hiring, goal-oriented hiring, endogenous goal-oriented hiring, hiring staff who gain experience more quickly, retention policies, work engagement initiatives, and productivity-enhancing changes. Simulation results showed that turnover-induced hiring alone led to performance declines, primarily due to the rising proportion of inexperienced staff. In contrast, interventions such as (endogenous) goal-oriented hiring, recruiting staff who gain experience faster, and implementing retention policies significantly improved performance. Additionally, strategies that enhanced staff engagement and productivity further mitigate the negative effects of turnover and stabilized system output.

Conclusion

This study uses a system dynamics model to examine the causal pathway between staff turnover and productivity in a screening system. By incorporating feedback loops, delays, and stakeholder-informed structures, the model demonstrates how workforce disruptions unfold over time and highlights ways to mitigate them. Three main pathways were identified linking burnout to productivity loss: immediate reductions in individual performance, diminished staffing capacity from turnover, and the erosion of institutional knowledge. The findings provide healthcare administrators with evidence-based guidance for developing resilient staffing strategies that support the sustainability and effectiveness of screening systems in critical care settings.

References

1. Abbasi, S.M. and K.W. Hollman, Turnover: The Real Bottom Line. *Public Personnel Management*, 2000. 29(3): p. 333-342.
2. Adriaenssens, J., V. De Gucht, and S. Maes, Causes and consequences of occupational stress in emergency nurses, a longitudinal study. *J Nurs Manag*, 2015. 23(3): p. 346-58.
3. Aiken, L.H., et al., Hospital Nurse Staffing and Patient Mortality, Nurse Burnout, and Job Dissatisfaction. *JAMA*, 2002. 288(16): p. 1987-1993.
4. Andersen, D.F. and G.P. Richardson, Scripts for group model building. *System Dynamics Review: The Journal of the System Dynamics Society*, 1997. 13(2): p. 107-129.
5. Barlas, Y., Formal aspects of model validity and validation in system dynamics. *System Dynamics Review*, 1996. 12(3): p. 183-210.
6. Barlas, Y., Multiple tests for validation of system dynamics type of simulation models. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 1989. 42(1): p. 59-87.
7. Bresesti, I., L. Folgori, and P. De Bartolo, Interventions to reduce occupational stress and burn out within neonatal intensive care units: A systematic review. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 2020. 77: p. oemed-2019.
8. Chen, X., et al., Moderating role of job satisfaction on turnover intention and burnout among workers in primary care institutions: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*, 2019. 19(1): p. 1526.
9. Choi, D., Y. Noh, and J.S. Rha, Work pressure and burnout effects on emergency room operations: a system dynamics simulation approach. *Service Business*, 2019. 13(3): p. 433-456.
10. Darabi, N. and N. Hosseinichimeh, System dynamics modeling in health and medicine: a systematic literature review. *System Dynamics Review*, 2020. 36(1): p. 29-73.
11. Darmon, R.Y., Controlling sales force turnover costs through optimal recruiting and training policies. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2004. 154(1): p. 291-303.
12. De Winne, S., et al., The impact of employee turnover and turnover volatility on labor productivity: a flexible non-linear approach. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 2019. 30(21): p. 3049-3079.
13. Duffield, C.M., et al., A comparative review of nurse turnover rates and costs across countries. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 2014. 70(12): p. 2703-2712.
14. Farid, M., N. Purdy, and W.P. Neumann, Using system dynamics modelling to show the effect of nurse workload on nurses' health and quality of care. *Ergonomics*, 2020. 63(8): p. 952-964.
15. Flynn, H.A., et al., Rates of maternal depression in pediatric emergency department and relationship to child service utilization. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*, 2004. 26(4): p. 316-22.
16. Forrester, J.W. and P.M. Senge, Tests for building confidence in system dynamic models. *System dynamics*, 1980: p. 209-228.
17. Grunberg, V.A., et al., Parental mental health screening in the NICU: a psychosocial team initiative. *J Perinatol*, 2022. 42(3): p. 401-409.
18. Hancock, J.I., et al., Meta-Analytic Review of Employee Turnover as a Predictor of Firm Performance. *Journal of Management*, 2013. 39(3): p. 573-603.
19. Harris, J.E., An AI-Enhanced Electronic Health Record Could Boost Primary Care Productivity. *JAMA*, 2023. 330(9): p. 801-802.
20. Hayes, L.J., et al., Nurse turnover: A literature review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 2006. 43(2): p. 237-263.
21. Hom, P.W., et al., One hundred years of employee turnover theory and research. *J Appl Psychol*, 2017. 102(3): p. 530-545.
22. Homer, J.B. and G.B. Hirsch, System dynamics modeling for public health: background and opportunities. *Am J Public Health*, 2006. 96(3): p. 452-8.
23. Homer, J.B., Worker burnout: A dynamic model with implications for prevention and control. *System Dynamics Review*, 1985. 1(1): p. 42-62.

24. Jarvis, L.R., et al., Postpartum Depression Screening and Referral in a Pediatric Emergency Department. *Pediatr Emerg Care*, 2020. 36(11): p. e626-e631.
25. Kelly, L.A., P.M. Gee, and R.J. Butler, Impact of nurse burnout on organizational and position turnover. *Nurs Outlook*, 2021. 69(1): p. 96-102.
26. Lane, D.C., E. Munro, and E. Husemann, Blending systems thinking approaches for organisational analysis: Reviewing child protection in England. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2016. 251(2): p. 613-623.
27. Lyneis, J.M. and D.N. Ford, System dynamics applied to project management: a survey, assessment, and directions for future research. *System Dynamics Review*, 2007. 23(2-3): p. 157-189.
28. McDermid, F., M. Judy, and K. Peters, Factors contributing to high turnover rates of emergency nurses: A review of the literature. *Australian Critical Care*, 2020. 33(4): p. 390-396.
29. Memon, M.A., R. Salleh, and M.N.R. Baharom, The link between training satisfaction, work engagement and turnover intention. *European Journal of Training and Development*, 2016. 40(6): p. 407-429.
30. Mingers, J. and L. White, A review of the recent contribution of systems thinking to operational research and management science. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2010. 207(3): p. 1147-1161.
31. Orgambidez-Ramos, A. and H. de Almeida, Work engagement, social support, and job satisfaction in Portuguese nursing staff: A winning combination. *Applied Nursing Research*, 2017. 36: p. 37-41.
32. Park, T.-Y. and J.D. Shaw, Turnover rates and organizational performance: a meta-analysis. *Journal of applied psychology*, 2013. 98(2): p. 268.
33. Profit, J., et al., Burnout in the NICU setting and its relation to safety culture. *BMJ quality & safety*, 2014. 23(10): p. 806-813.
34. Puspitasari, A.J., et al., Perinatal Mood and Anxiety Disorder Management in Multicenter Community Practices: Clinicians' Training, Current Practices and Perceived Strategies to Improve Future Implementation. *J Prim Care Community Health*, 2021. 12: p. 2150132721996888.
35. Rashwan, W., W. Abo-Hamad, and A. Arisha, A system dynamics view of the acute bed blockage problem in the Irish healthcare system. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2015. 247(1): p. 276-293.
36. Sadjadpour, F., et al., A Participatory Approach to Enhance Screening System for Perinatal Mood and Anxiety Disorder in Critical Care Settings. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*. n/a(n/a).
37. Saks, A., Antecedents and Consequences of Employee Engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 2006. 21: p. 600-619.
38. Santhanam, N. and S. Srinivas, Modeling the impact of employee engagement and happiness on burnout and turnover intention among blue-collar workers at a manufacturing company. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 2020. 27(2): p. 499-516.
39. Scott, R.J., R.Y. Cavana, and D. Cameron, Recent evidence on the effectiveness of group model building. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2016. 249(3): p. 908-918.
40. Senge, P.M. and J.D. Sterman, Systems thinking and organizational learning: Acting locally and thinking globally in the organization of the future. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 1992. 59(1): p. 137-150.
41. Sheehan, E.P., The Effects of Turnover on the Productivity of Those Who Stay. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 1993. 133(5): p. 699-706.
42. Shovers, S.M., et al., Maternal postpartum depression: risk factors, impacts, and interventions for the NICU and beyond. *Curr Opin Pediatr*, 2021. 33(3): p. 331-341.
43. Sterman, J., Business Dynamics, System Thinking and Modeling for a Complex World. [http://lst-iiiep.iiiep-unesco.org/cgi-bin/wwwi32.exe/\[in=epidoc1.in\]/?t2000=013598/\(100\)](http://lst-iiiep.iiiep-unesco.org/cgi-bin/wwwi32.exe/[in=epidoc1.in]/?t2000=013598/(100)), 2000. 19.
44. Tarcan, G.Y., M. Tarcan, and M. Top, An analysis of relationship between burnout and job satisfaction among emergency health professionals. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, 2017. 28(11-12): p. 1339-1356.
45. Vennix, J.A., Group model-building: tackling messy problems. *System Dynamics Review: The Journal of the System Dynamics Society*, 1999. 15(4): p. 379-401.

46. Viana, J., et al., Combining discrete-event simulation and system dynamics in a healthcare setting: A composite model for Chlamydia infection. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2014. 237(1): p. 196-206.
47. Vrugt, J., et al., Accelerating Markov Chain Monte Carlo Simulation by Differential Evolution with Self-Adaptive Randomized Subspace Sampling. *International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation* 10 (2009) 3, 2008. 10.
48. Wan, Q., et al., Effects of work environment and job characteristics on the turnover intention of experienced nurses: The mediating role of work engagement. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 2018. 74(6): p. 1332-1341.
49. Wang, H., et al., Job satisfaction, burnout, and turnover intention among primary care providers in rural China: results from structural equation modeling. *BMC Family Practice*, 2020. 21(1): p. 12.
50. Woodward, K.F. and M. Willgerodt, A systematic review of registered nurse turnover and retention in the United States. *Nursing Outlook*, 2022. 70(4): p. 664-678.
51. Zhang, X., et al., The influence of job satisfaction, resilience and work engagement on turnover intention among village doctors in China: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 2020. 20(1): p. 283.
52. Zhang, Y. and X. Feng, The relationship between job satisfaction, burnout, and turnover intention among physicians from urban state-owned medical institutions in Hubei, China: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 2011. 11(1): p. 235.