

# Extended Abstract: Limits to Success of the Individualization Business

## Model in DigitalTextile Micro Factories

**Juan Esteban Martinez-Jaramillo**

University of Stuttgart,  
Institute for Diversity Studies in  
Engineering, Pfaffenwaldring 9,  
70569 Stuttgart, Germany  
Tel.: +49 711 685-60702  
[juan.martinez@ids.uni-stuttgart.de](mailto:juan.martinez@ids.uni-stuttgart.de)

**Meike Tilebein**

University of Stuttgart,  
Institute for Diversity Studies in  
Engineering, Pfaffenwaldring 9,  
70569 Stuttgart, Germany  
Tel.: +49 711 685-60700  
[meike.tilebein@ids.uni-stuttgart.de](mailto:meike.tilebein@ids.uni-stuttgart.de)

**Second Affiliation:** German Institutes of Textile and Fiber Research, Körscstalstr. 26, 73770 Denkendorf, Germany, [meike.tilebein@ditf.de](mailto:meike.tilebein@ditf.de)

**Keywords:** Archetypes, Digital Textile Micro Factories, Individualization Business Model, Fashion Industry, Simulation

The textile and clothing industries face challenges such as severe competition from low-cost nations, rising demand for sustainable and ethical production, automation, and a skilled labor shortage (Lee, 2019). Furthermore, the sector must continually adjust to changing fashions, designs, and client preferences, which adds to the difficulty.

The need for customized items is a notable trend in customer preferences, leading to increased demand for personalized clothes (Tilebein, 2019). Historically, small manufacturers and artisans met this demand. With the rise of Industry 4.0 technologies, DTMFs have gained significant attention as they enable a fully digital end-to-end development and production process for textile and clothing products. This digital infrastructure enables speed, customization (including tiny batch sizes and single-piece production), efficiency, sustainability, and excellent quality. Furthermore, DTMFs have a high potential for innovation and improved customer engagement (Kiel et al., 2017; Winands et al., 2022).

Despite these potential advantages, the industry has hesitated to adopt technologies of Industry 4.0 together with new business models due to various challenges (Saebi et al., 2017). These challenges are well known also from other industries and include a lack of time, the cost of changing current processes, and resistance to change (Chesbrough, 2010). With no previous experience in this field, decision-makers require more information to assess the financial feasibility and customer acceptance of the individualization business model,

urging concerns about its viability and slowing the adoption of new technologies and new business models (von den Eichen et al., 2015).

This paper aims to develop a System Dynamics-based model analyzing Digital Textile Micro Factories (DTMFs) with the individualization business model. A medium-term perspective enables the detecting of unintended effects and comprehending complex business strategy relationships. The individualization model faces challenges, such as "limits-to-success" scenarios involving design service assistance for customers lacking design skills. Adequate design service capacity leads to satisfied customers and facilitates company growth. The research provides valuable insights for decision-makers to identify critical system drivers and understand their impact, which is vital for developing viable and flexible business models.

Our results have two main contributions. On the one hand, in the industry, it could help firms considering implementing the individualization business model, based on DTMF processes, make informed decisions that increase the firm's benefits while mitigating any possible negative consequences. On the other hand, our paper adds to the literature on System Dynamics with a specific case of limits to growth in the field of business models.

In this paper, we developed an SD-based model to analyze the complex relationships underlying adopting the individualization business model on a DTMF. We tested two possible scenarios. The first scenario limits customers to ordering only if they have received the design support service, while the second scenario relaxes this limitation. Both scenarios show a decline in the customer base reaching the maximum. In both cases, we can distinguish the limits to the success archetype. In this archetype, there is an endogenous resource that limits the growth of the system. In our case, the decision-makers on DTMFs have to balance sustainable growth with the expansion of the design support capacity.

The findings of this research may provide helpful insights for decision-makers in identifying key system drivers and understanding how changes in these drivers impact the overall system, which is critical in creating viable and flexible business models. DTMFs that want to offer fully customized garments to their customers need to understand the importance of balancing their key processes and sales that attract new customers and increase customer loyalty.

Our results should be seen as an exploratory experiment and thus should be seen as a tool for organizational learning. For this, the DTMF technology is new and companies aiming to start urban production business for individual clothing need decision support for their investment decision. Our model can provide insights into limits to growth mechanisms that have to be considered upfront. This model could be adapted to study the financial feasibility or the environmental impact of the individualization business model for the textile industry.

## REFERENCES

- Amit, R., & Zott, C. (2012). Creating Value Through Business Model Innovation. *MIT Sloan Management Review*. <https://sloanreview.mit.edu/article/creating-value-through-business-model-innovation/>
- Arango, S., & Torres, A. (2008). Economic Incidences of Ethanol as Biofuel in Colombia over the Sugar Cane Products : A System Dynamics Approach. *Revista Avances En Sistemas e Informática*, 5(2), 69–75.
- Arnold, C., Kiel, D., & Voigt, K. I. (2016). How the Industrial Internet of Things Changes Business Models In Different Manufacturing Industries. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 20(8). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1363919616400156>
- Baden-Fuller, C., & Haefliger, S. (2013). Business Models and Technological Innovation. *Long Range Planning*, 46(6), 419–426. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LRP.2013.08.023>
- Barlas, Y. (1996). Formal aspects of model validity and validation in system dynamics. *System Dynamics Review*, 12(3), 183–210. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-1727\(199623\)12:3<183::AID-SDR103>3.0.CO;2-4](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1727(199623)12:3<183::AID-SDR103>3.0.CO;2-4)
- Batten, D. F. (2009). Fostering Industrial Symbiosis With Agent-Based Simulation and Participatory Modeling. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 13(2), 197–213. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1530-9290.2009.00115.X>
- Behera, P. M. (2017). Relevance of Business Model Innovation for Sustainable Entrepreneurship: A Perspective. *Journal of Entrepreneurship Development*, 14(3), 7–30.

- Bisogno, S., Calabrese, A., Gastaldi, M., & Levialdi Ghiron, N. (2016). Combining modelling and simulation approaches: How to measure performance of business processes. *Business Process Management Journal*, 22(1), 56–74. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-02-2015-0021>
- Bocken, N. M. P., de Pauw, I., Bakker, C., & van der Grinten, B. (2016). Product design and business model strategies for a circular economy. *https://doi.org/10.1080/21681015.2016.1172124*, 33(5), 308–320. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21681015.2016.1172124>
- Bonakdar, A., Frankenberger, K., Bader, M. A., & Gassmann, O. (2017). Capturing value from business models: The role of formal and informal protection strategies. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 73(4), 151–175. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTM.2017.083073>
- Boyd, D., & Crawford, K. (2012). Critical Questions for Big Data. *Information, Communication & Society*, 15(5), 662–679. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2012.678878>
- Bruce, M., Daly, L., & Towers, N. (2004). Lean or agile: A solution for supply chain management in the textiles and clothing industry? *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 24(1–2), 151–170. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570410514867/FULL/PDF>
- Chesbrough, H. (2010). Business model innovation: Opportunities and barriers. *Long Range Planning*, 43(2–3), 354–363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2009.07.010>
- Cosenz, F., & Noto, G. (2018). A dynamic business modelling approach to design and experiment new business venture strategies. *Long Range Planning*, 51(1), 127–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LRP.2017.07.001>
- Cuc, J. E. (2019). Trends in Business Model Research: A Bibliometric Analysis. In *Journal of Business Models* (Vol. 7, Issue 5).
- Eurostat. (2022, December). *Business demography statistics*. [https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Business\\_demography\\_statistics#Enterprise\\_survival\\_rate](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Business_demography_statistics#Enterprise_survival_rate)
- Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action Germany. (2022). *Was ist Industrie 4.0?* <https://www.plattform-i40.de/IP/Navigation/DE/Industrie40/WasIndustrie40/was-ist-industrie-40.html>
- Gao, B., Liu, S., Pan, G., & Ong, A. P. R. (2020). Concept and Building Blocks of a Business Model: A Systematic Literature Review. *Journal of Economics, Business and Management*, 8(2), 74–79. <https://doi.org/10.18178/joebm.2020.8.2.616>
- Gassmann, O., Frankenberger, K., & Csik, M. (2014). *The Business Model Navigator: 55 Models that will revolutionise your Business*. <https://www.alexandria.unisg.ch/240196>
- Geissdoerfer, M., Morioka, S. N., de Carvalho, M. M., & Evans, S. (2018). Business models and supply chains for the circular economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 190, 712–721. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2018.04.159>

- Geissdoerfer, M., Vladimirova, D., & Evans, S. (2018). Sustainable Business Model Innovation: A Review. *Diversification Strategy & Policy EJournal*, 198, 401–416. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2018.06.240>
- Grimal, L., & Guerlain, P. (2014). Mass Customization in Apparel Industry-Implication of Consumer as Co-Creator. *Journal of Economics & Management*, 15, 105–121.
- Groesser, S. N., & Jovy, N. (2016). Business model analysis using computational modeling: a strategy tool for exploration and decision-making. *Journal of Management Control*, 27(1), 61–88. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00187-015-0222-1/METRICS>
- Gu, X., & Koren, Y. (2022). Mass-Individualisation—the twenty first century manufacturing paradigm. *International Journal of Production Research*, 60(24), 7572–7587. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2021.2013565>
- Hsieh, Y.-H., & Yuan, S.-T. (2010). Using System Dynamics to Analyze Customer Experience Design. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 1(3), 84–99. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jssmet.2010070105>
- Johnson, M. W., Christensen, C. M., & Kagermann, H. (2008). Reinventing your business model. *Harvard Business Review*, 57–68. [https://media.mises.org/Academy/2014\\_winter/managers/HBR\\_on\\_Strategy.pdf#page=57](https://media.mises.org/Academy/2014_winter/managers/HBR_on_Strategy.pdf#page=57)
- Kiel, D., Müller, J. M., Arnold, C., & Voigt, K. I. (2017). SUSTAINABLE INDUSTRIAL VALUE CREATION: BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES OF INDUSTRY 4.0. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1363919617400151>, 21(8). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1363919617400151>
- Kraus, S., Filser, M., Puumalainen, K., Kailer, N., & Thurner, S. (2020). Business Model Innovation: A Systematic Literature Review. *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management*, 17(06). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219877020500431>
- Lee, J. (2019). ANALYSIS ON TRENDS OF ICT-BASED FASHION TECH BUSINESS MODELS. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, 15, 17. [www.jatit.org](http://www.jatit.org)
- Lin, J. H., & Liu, H. C. (2008). System Dynamics Simulation for Internet Marketing. 2008 *IEEE/SICE International Symposium on System Integration*, 83–88.
- Liu, X., & Zeng, M. (2017). Renewable energy investment risk evaluation model based on system dynamics. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 73, 782–788. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.02.019>
- Loebbecke, C., & Picot, A. (2015). Reflections on societal and business model transformation arising from digitization and big data analytics: A research agenda. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 24(3), 149–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JSIS.2015.08.002>
- Magnusson, M., & Pasche, M. (2014). A contingency-based approach to the use of product platforms and modules in new product development. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 31(3), 434–450. <https://doi.org/10.1111/JPIM.12106>

- Martínez, J. A. (2019). MARKUPS, PROFITS AND LIVING WAGES IN THE GARMENT INDUSTRY. In *International Journal of Education and Social Science Research* (Vol. 2, Issue 03). <http://ijessr.com>
- Mitchell, D., & Coles, C. (2003). The ultimate competitive advantage of continuing business model innovation. *Journal of Business Strategy*, 24(5), 15–21. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02756660310504924/FULL/XML>
- Moellers, T., Bansemir, B., Pretzl, M., & Gassmann, O. (2017). Design and evaluation of a system dynamics based business model evaluation method. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 10243 LNCS, 125–144. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59144-5\\_8/COVER](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59144-5_8/COVER)
- Moellers, T., von der Burg, L., Bansemir, B., Pretzl, M., & Gassmann, O. (2019). System dynamics for corporate business model innovation. *Electronic Markets*, 29(3), 387–406. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-019-00329-y>
- Morecroft, J. (2007). *Strategic Modelling and Business Dynamics: A Feedback Systems Approach*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Mutanov, G., Ziyadin, S., & Serikbekuly, A. (2020). Application of system-dynamic modeling to improve distribution logistics processes in the supply chain. *Communications - Scientific Letters of the University of Žilina*, 22(3), 29–39. <https://doi.org/10.26552/com.C.2020.3.29-39>
- Neugebauer, C., & Schewe, G. (2014, December 23). *Wirtschaftsmacht Modeindustrie – Alles bleibt anders*. <https://www.bpb.de/shop/zeitschriften/apuz/198384/wirtschaftsmacht-modeindustrie-alles-bleibt-anders/?p=all>
- Osterwalder, A., & Pigneur, Y. (2010). *Business model generation: a handbook for visionaries, game changers, and challengers*. [https://books.google.com/books?hl=de&lr=&id=UzuTAwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Business+model+generation:+A+handbook+for+visionaries,+game+changers,+and+challengers&ots=yYITwiFa1t&sig=U54pK\\_eH1M44WZ9Ko6tdFMDJtaU](https://books.google.com/books?hl=de&lr=&id=UzuTAwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Business+model+generation:+A+handbook+for+visionaries,+game+changers,+and+challengers&ots=yYITwiFa1t&sig=U54pK_eH1M44WZ9Ko6tdFMDJtaU)
- Pasaoglu, G., & Or, I. (2006). A system dynamics model for the decentralized electricity market. *International Journal of Simulation Systems, Science and Technology*, 7(7), 40–55.
- Persson, M., & Lantz, B. (2022). Effects of customization and product modularization on financial performance. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 65, 101704. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JENGTECMAN.2022.101704>
- Saebi, T., Lien, L., & Foss, N. J. (2017). What Drives Business Model Adaptation? The Impact of Opportunities, Threats and Strategic Orientation. *Long Range Planning*, 50(5), 567–581. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2016.06.006>
- Saeed, K. (1987). World Hunger: Do we understand it? *System Dynamics Review*, 3(1), 36–44.
- Schreiber, F., & Felk, K. (2017). Status-Quo und Wertschöpfungsperspektiven digitaler Geschäftsmodelle in der Textilbranche. In D. Schallmo, A. Rusnjak, J. Anzengruber, T.

- Werani, & M. Jünger (Eds.), *Digitale Transformation von Geschäftsmodellen: Grundlagen, Instrumente und Best Practices* (pp. 433–450). Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-12388-8\\_18](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-12388-8_18)
- Smetschka, B., & Gaube, V. (2020). Co-creating formalized models: Participatory modelling as method and process in transdisciplinary research and its impact potentials. *Environmental Science & Policy*, *103*, 41–49. [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1462901119303909?casa\\_token=6j6sAS36gIIAAAAA:A8IjJQIQ9n7nWKxYmhPHI\\_vpSeBVM7ChXY2SWRKVTA9mBMsTFtsZWtr48k1\\_y-Mp\\_zXuR9M3RL8](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1462901119303909?casa_token=6j6sAS36gIIAAAAA:A8IjJQIQ9n7nWKxYmhPHI_vpSeBVM7ChXY2SWRKVTA9mBMsTFtsZWtr48k1_y-Mp_zXuR9M3RL8)
- Statista. (2021, March 13). *Apparel Report 2021*. <https://www.statista.com/outlook/cmo/apparel/germany>
- Sterman, J. D. (2000). *Business Dynamics* (McGraw Hill).
- Stewart, D. W., & Zhao, Q. (2018). Internet Marketing, Business Models, and Public Policy. <https://doi.org/10.1509/Jppm.19.2.287.17125>, *19*(2), 287–296. <https://doi.org/10.1509/JPPM.19.2.287.17125>
- Taddei, E., Sassanelli, C., Rosa, P., & Terzi, S. (2022). Circular supply chains in the era of industry 4.0: A systematic literature review. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, *170*, 108268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CIE.2022.108268>
- Teece, D. J. (2010). Business Models, Business Strategy and Innovation. *Long Range Planning*, *43*(2–3), 172–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LRP.2009.07.003>
- Tilebein, M. (2019). Small, Smart and Sustainable. *Digital Textile*, *2*, 60–63.
- Tukker, A. (2015). Product services for a resource-efficient and circular economy – a review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *97*, 76–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2013.11.049>
- Ünal-Saewe, T., Vedder, C., Vervoort, S., & Schleifenbaum, J. H. (2022). Digital Twins in the Product Life Cycle of Additively Manufactured Components. In W. Frenz (Ed.), *Handbook Industry 4.0: Law, Technology, Society* (pp. 491–501). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-64448-5\\_25](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-64448-5_25)
- von den Eichen, S. F., Freiling, J., & Matzler, K. (2015). Why business model innovations fail. *Journal of Business Strategy*, *36*(6). <https://doi.org/10.1108/JBS-09-2014-0107>
- Wang, C., Wood, L. C., Abdul-Rahman, H., & Lee, Y. T. (2016). When traditional information technology project managers encounter the cloud: Opportunities and dilemmas in the transition to cloud services. *International Journal of Project Management*, *34*(3), 371–388. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJPROMAN.2015.11.006>
- Winands, K., Müller, K., Kollera, C., & Gries, T. (2022). *A New Textile Production Type-Urban Apparel Production In Microfactories*. <https://doi.org/10.15488/12114>
- Winkler, M., Moltenbrey, F., & Tilebein, M. (2022). Business Model Scenarios For Digital Textile Microfactories. *3rd Conference on Production Systems and Logistics*, 574–582. <https://www.repo.uni-hannover.de/bitstream/handle/123456789/12239/Winkler-CPSL2022.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>

- Yuen, F. T., & Chan, S. L. (2010). System Dynamics Modelling in CRM: Window FashionsGallery. *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*, 2(2), 77–84.
- Zanker, M., Bureš, V., & Tučník, P. (2021). Environment, business, and health care prevail: A comprehensive, systematic review of system dynamics application domains. In *Systems* (Vol. 9, Issue 2). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems9020028>