

# **Extended Abstract: Dynamic Challenges of New Digital Technology-Based Business Models for Customer Diversity in the Fashion Industry**

**Meike Tilebein**

University of Stuttgart,  
Institute for Diversity Studies in  
Engineering, Pfaffenwaldring 9,  
70569 Stuttgart, Germany  
Tel.: +49 711 685-60700  
meike.tilebein@ids.uni-stuttgart.de

**Keywords:** Digitalization, Microfactory, Business Models, Fashion Industry, System Arche-types

**Second Affiliation:** German Institutes of Textile and Fiber Research, Körtschatalstr. 26, 73770 Denkendorf, Germany, meike.tilebein@ditf.de

The purpose of this paper is to contribute to the discussion of new, digital technology-based business models in the fashion industry by providing insights from the perspective of systems archetypes in order to uncover unfavourable dynamics and effects in advance.

Digitalisation offers enormous opportunities for the textile and clothing industries (TCI) to become more efficient and at the same time be more sustainable. This applies to digitalization within the companies as well as to the digital transformation of the entire supply chain. (2021 Euratex Vision on Textiles + Clothing). Digital technologies are increasingly entering the textile and clothing industries. Digital solutions for value creation networks and production using intelligent networking (Kiel et al. 2019), taking into account the possibilities of flexible production, adaptable processes, customer-centric solutions, optimised logistics, use of data for new services and resource-saving circular economy (Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action 2022), that have proven to be beneficial to other industries can also be applied to the TCI. (Winkler et al. 2022).

New completely digitized manufacturing systems have the potential to fundamentally change manufacturing structures and business models in the textile and clothing industry. (Artschwager and Tilebein 2017) Digitalisation paves the way for decentral, local production concepts that create and produce customer specific and co-created products near the point of sale or point of use. Fully integrated small-scale production units bear a vast potential for use in different business models for design-based individualised consumer goods. They are suited also for urban production that, compared to conventional production in global supply chains, can be much faster with less environmental impact. (Tilebein 2017)

Among these new digital technologies for manufacturing Microfactories have recently been subject to research and development (Montes and Olleros 2019) and offer new possibilities. For the TCI, this is related to the concept of a Digital Textile Microfactory (DTMF).

The basic principle of a Digital Textile Microfactory is a digitally networked end-to-end digital design and production process for textile and clothing products. Such a Microfactory can cover a

complete value creating chain comprising all design and production steps from the customer to the ready-made product. Its digital backbone allows for speed, efficiency, high quality, deep consumer interaction, and increased sustainability, which in turn bears great potential to meet new market trends in the fashion industry that are driven by customer diversity in terms of e.g. morphology, design and functionality preferences, or sustainability preferences. Thus, a DTMF can provide solutions for efficient development and production of individualised products in small lot sizes, being fast and flexible in a more sustainable production and supply chain and supporting new business models. (Winkler et al. 2022)

However, other than for established technologies, business models using new technologies come with higher uncertainties with regard to the different aspects (customer value proposition, key processes, key resources and profit formula) of the business model as well as their relations. From the perspective of systems thinking, it is therefore suggested to think more carefully from the outset about which interactions and developments are possible, and to consider not only obvious, proven and immediate mutual dependencies within a business model, but also its potential, possibly indirect, more far-reaching or more complex interaction structures that may come with additional delays.

Also in the case of the DTMF, in spite of the potential benefits of this new digital technology, the industry is still reluctant to adopt it. This can be related to perceived uncertainty with regard to the range of new business models a DTMF can support. To analyse their potential risks, a dynamic perspective can be helpful. System Dynamics can support this in two ways: with case-specific concrete modeling and simulation or, in a first step, with qualitative causal loop diagrams that are based on system archetypes as a starting point to support considerations.

In this paper, we go the first step and apply system archetypes to the topic of DTMF-based business models. We first describe the new technology of Digital Textile Microfactories as well as recent diversity-related market trends in the fashion industry. We then outline three different business models for Digital Textile Microfactories and propose related systems archetypes that offer a wider systems perspective on potential dynamic effects, e.g. we relate the limits-to-success archetype to DTMFs for individualization.

Of course, these are just first and qualitative insights from a broader systems' perspective into the potential downsides of using the new technology of DTMFs. More research is needed in order to further specify risks and to develop proper strategies in order to prevent adverse effects.

## Literature

- Absi, N., Kedad-Sidhoum, S., Dauzère-Pérès, S. (2011). Uncapacitated lot-sizing problem with production time windows, early productions, backlogs and lost sales. *International Journal of Production Research*, 49(9), 2551–2566.
- Adidas Group (2019). *adidas deploys Speedfactory technology at Asian suppliers by end of 2019*. Retrieved January, 14, 2022, from: <https://www.adidas-group.com/en/media/news-archive/press-releases/2019/adidas-deploys-speedfactory-technology-at-asian-suppliers-by-end-2019/>.
- Anderson, J., Berg, A., Hedrich, S., Ibanez, P., Janmark, J. and Magnus, K.-H. (2018). Is apparel manufacturing coming home? Nearshoring, automation, and sustainability – establishing a demand focused apparel value chain. McKinsey & Company. Retrieved December 20, 2021, from: [https://www.mckinsey.com/~media/mckinsey/industries/retail/our%20insights/is%20apparel%20manufacturing%20coming%20home/is-apparel-manufacturing-coming-home\\_vf.pdf](https://www.mckinsey.com/~media/mckinsey/industries/retail/our%20insights/is%20apparel%20manufacturing%20coming%20home/is-apparel-manufacturing-coming-home_vf.pdf).
- Anderson, E. G., Morrice, D. J. and Lundein, G. (2005). The “physics” of capacity and backlog management in service and custom manufacturing supply chains. *System Dynamics Review*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 217–247.
- Artschwager, A. and Tilebein, M. (2017). Die Zukunft der textilen Produktion am Beispiel von Microfactories; *melliand Textilberichte* (3), 113.
- Baden-Fuller, C. and Haefliger, S. (2013). Business Model and Technological Innovation. *Long Range Planning*, 46, 419–426.
- Bala, B. K., Islam, M. M., Ghosh, S., Hossain, M. S., Hoque, A. S. M. M., Saha, S. (2020). Modelling of supply chain of ready-made garments in Bangladesh. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 37(1), 38–55.
- Braun, W. (2002). *System Archetypes*. Retrieved March 18, 2022, from [https://www.albany.edu/faculty/gpr/PAD724/724WebArticles/sys\\_archetypes.pdf](https://www.albany.edu/faculty/gpr/PAD724/724WebArticles/sys_archetypes.pdf)
- Buchholz, B., Ferdinand, J.-P., Gieschen, J.-H., Seidel, U. (2017). *Digitalisierung industrieller Wertschöpfung: Transformationsansätze für KMU*. (Begleitforschung AUTONOMIK für Industrie 4.0, iit-Institut für Innovation und Technik in der VDI/VDE Innovation + Technik GmbH, Ed.). Retrieved March 18, 2022, from: [https://www.digitale-technologien.de/DT/Redaktion/DE/Downloads/Publikation/2017-04-27\\_AUT%20Studie%20Wertsch%C3%B6pfungsketten.pdf?\\_\\_blob=publicationFile&v=4](https://www.digitale-technologien.de/DT/Redaktion/DE/Downloads/Publikation/2017-04-27_AUT%20Studie%20Wertsch%C3%B6pfungsketten.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=4).
- Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft und Energie, Germany (2017). *Digitale Geschäftsmodelle: Themenheft Mittelstand-Digital*. Retrieved March 18, 2022, from: [https://www.bmwi.de/Redaktion/DE/Publikationen/Mittelstand/mittelstand-digital-digitale-geschaeftsmodelle.pdf?\\_\\_blob=publicationFile&v=7](https://www.bmwi.de/Redaktion/DE/Publikationen/Mittelstand/mittelstand-digital-digitale-geschaeftsmodelle.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=7).
- Chesbrough, H. (2010). Business Model Innovation: Opportunities and Barriers. *Long Range Planning*, 43(2-3), 354–363.
- circular.fashion UG (n.d.). Online. Last visited March 18, 2022, URL: <https://circular.fashion/>
- Davis, J. P., Eisenhardt K. M. and Bingham, C. B. (2007). Developing Theory Through Simulation Methods. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(2), 480–499.

- Demil, B. and Xavier L. (2010). Business Model Evolution: In Search of Dynamic Consistency. *Long Range Planning*, 43, 227–246.
- Desore, A. and Narula, S. (2018). An overview on corporate response towards sustainability issues in textile industry. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, Vol. 20 (pp. 1439–1459). Springer Science+Business Media, Dordrecht.
- DITF Denkendorf (2017). *MICROFACTORY 4 Fashion*. Last visited March 18, 2022, URL: <https://www.ditf.de/en/index/more-information/microfactory.html>.
- Engelhardt, P., Gassmann, O. and Möller, K. (2019). Innovative Geschäftsmodelle steuern und skalieren. *Controlling & Management Review*, 63(2), 16–25.
- Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action, Germany (2022). *What is Industrie 4.0?* Online. Last visited January 28, 2022, URL: <https://www.plattform-i40.de/IP/Navigation/EN/Industrie40/WhatIsIndustrie40/what-is-industrie40.html>.
- Federal Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs, Germany (2021). *Act on Corporate Due Diligence Obligations in Supply Chains*. Retrieved March 18, 2022, from: <https://www.bmas.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/DE/Internationales/act-corporate-due-diligence-obligations-supply-chains.pdf>.
- Fischer, T., Artschwager, A., Pfleiderer, K., Rissiek, A., Mandalka, M., Seidl, A., Trieb, R. (2016). Automatic morphological classification with Case-Based Reasoning. *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on 3D Body Scanning Technologies*, 148–158. Lugano, Switzerland. Retrieved March 18, 2022, from <https://doi.org/10.15221/16.148>
- Freistaat Sachsen (2018). *Innovationsreport 2018: Technische Textilien*. Retrieved March 18, 2022, from: <https://www.industrie.sachsen.de/download/industrie/innovationsreport-technische-textilien-2018.pdf>
- Gambardella, A. and McGahan, A. M. (2010). Business-Model Innovation: General Purpose Technologies and their Implications for Industry Structure. *Long Range Planning*, 43, 262–271.
- Gassmann, M. (2018). *Fertigung von Mode in Europa und Amerika lohnt sich wieder*. Retrieved March 18, 2022, from: <https://www.welt.de/wirtschaft/article182267770/Modebranche-Fertigung-in-Europa-und-Amerika-lohnt-sich-wieder.html>.
- Gonçalves, P., Hines, J. and Sterman, J. (2005). The impact of endogenous demand on push-pull production systems. *System Dynamics Review*, 21(3), 187–216.
- Grösser, S. N. and Bürgi, M. (2014). Analyse von Geschäftsmodellen und Entwicklung von Maßnahmen durch computerbasierte Simulationsexperimente. In S. N. Grösser, M. Schwaninger, M. Tilebein et al. (Eds.), *Modellbasiertes Management: Konferenz für Wirtschafts- und Sozialkybernetik KyWi 2013 vom 4. bis 5. Juli 2013 in Bern* (pp. 53–65). Duncker & Humblot, Berlin.
- Hacklin, F., Björkdahl, J. and Wallin, M. W. (2018). Strategies for business model innovation: How firms reel in migrating value. *Long Range Planning*, 51(1), 82–110.

- Happach, M. and Tilebein, M. (2015). Simulation as Research Method: Modeling Social Interactions in Management Science. In Misselhorn, C. (Ed.), *Collective Agency and Cooperation in Natural and Artificial Systems* (pp. 239–259). Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Johnson, W., Christensen, C. M. and Kagermann, H. (2008). Reinventing Your Business Model. *Harvard Business Review*, 86 (12), 57–68.
- Karthik T. and Gopalakrishnan D. (2014) Environmental Analysis of Textile Value Chain: An Overview. In: Muthu, S. (Ed.), *Roadmap to Sustainable Textiles and Clothing. Textile Science and Clothing Technology* (pp. 153–188). Springer, Singapore.
- Kiel, D., Müller, J. M., Arnold, C. and Voigt, K.-I. (2019). Sustainable Industrial Value Creation: Benefits and Challenges of Industry 4.0. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 21(8), 1–34.
- Kim, D. H. (1992). *Systems Archetypes I - Diagnosing Systemic Issues and Designing High-Leverage Interventions*. Pegasus Communications, Inc.
- Kim, D. H. (1994). *Systems Archetypes II - Using Archetypes to Take Effective Action*. Pegasus Communications.
- Kim, D. H. (2000). *Systems Archetypes III - Understanding Patterns of Behavior and Delay*. Pegasus Communications.
- Kim, D. H., Lannon, C. P. (1997). *Applying Systems Archetypes*. Pegasus Communications, Inc.
- Lin Y.-L. and Wang M.-J. (2014). Digital Human Modelling and Clothing Virtual Try-on Proceedings. *Proceedings of the 2014 International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management, Bali, Indonesia, January 7 – 9*, 1914–1918.
- Lushan, S. (2018). Technology disruptions: exploring the changing roles of designers, makers, and users in the fashion industry. *International Journal of Fashion Design, Technology and Education*, 11(3), 362–374.
- Lyneis, J. M. (2000). System dynamics for market forecasting and structural analysis. *System Dynamics Review*, 16(1), 3–25.
- Martinez-Moyano, I. J. and Richardson, G. P. (2013). Best practices in system dynamics modeling. *System Dynamics Review*, 29(2), 102–123.
- Meraviglia, L. (2018). Technology and counterfeiting in the fashion industry: Friends or foes? *Business Horizons* 61(3), 467–475.
- Mishima, N. Tanikawa, T. Ashida, K. and Maekawa, H. (2002). Design of a Microfactory. In I. Y. Turner (Ed.) *7th Design for Manufacturing Conference* (pp. 103–110). American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York.
- Mittelstaedt J., Clifford J., Kilbourne W. and Peterson M. (2014). Sustainability as Megatrend: Two Schools of Macromarketing Thought. *Journal of Macromarketing* 34(3), 253–264.
- Moellers, T., von der Burg, L., Bansemir, B., Pretzl, M. and Gassmann, O. (2019). System dynamics for corporate business model innovation. *Electronic Markets*, 29(3), 387–406.
- Moltenbrey, F. and Fischer T. (2021). Retail 4.0 – Digital Customer and Retailer Feedback to Garment Development. *Journal of Textile Science & Fashion Technology* 8(2), 1–4.

- Moltenbrey, F. and Tilebein, M. (2020). Potenziale und Herausforderungen neuer digitaler Interaktionssysteme im Kollektionsentwicklungsprozess der Bekleidungsindustrie". In Freitag, M. (Ed.) *Mensch-Technik-Interaktion in der digitalisierten Arbeitswelt* (pp. 59–78). GITO-Verlag, Berlin.
- Montes, J. and Olleros, F. (2019). Microfactories and the new economies of scale and scope. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management* 31(1), 72–90.
- Morlet, A., Opsomer, R., Herrmann, S., Balmond, L., Gillet, C., Fuchs, L. (2017). *A new textiles economy: Redesigning fashion's future*. Ellen MacArthur Foundation. Retrieved March 18, 2022, from: <http://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/publications>.
- Münster, M. T. (2019). Innovationen, Disruption und langfristige Wettbewerbsprozesse – Einfluss von Technologiezyklen und technologischen Regimes auf die Überlebensfähigkeit von Startups und etablierten Unternehmen. *Wirtschaftswissenschaftliches Studium* 48(4), 29–37.
- NN (2018). The price of fast fashion. *Nature Climate Change* 8, 1 (2018), Retrieved March 18, 2022, from: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-017-0058-9>
- Osella, M. and Pautasso, E. (2017). *Business Models Dynamics enabled by TCBL*. Retrieved March 18, 2022, from: [https://issuu.com/tcbl/docs/business\\_model\\_dynamics\\_for\\_tcbl](https://issuu.com/tcbl/docs/business_model_dynamics_for_tcbl).
- Osterwalder, A. and Pigneur Y. (2013) *Business model generation: A handbook for visionaries, game changers, and challengers*, Wiley&Sons, New York.
- Peters R., Goluchowicz, K. and Richter, S. (2020). *Perspectives 2035: A guide to the textile future | Summary*. (Forschungskuratorium Textil e.V., Ed.). Retrieved March 18, 2022, from: <https://textil-mode.de/en/research/zukunftsstrategie-perspektiven-2035/>
- Stellmach, D., Weiß, M., Seibold, J. and Tilebein, M. (2022). Towards A Digital Workflow Solution For Cradle-To-Gate Sustainability Information In Textile Value Chains, in: Herberger, D. and Hübner, M. (Eds.) *Proceedings of the Conference on Production Systems and Logistics (CPSL 2022)* (pp. 723-732). publish-Ing. <https://doi.org/10.15488/12155>
- Sandrock, J. (2006). *System dynamics in der strategischen Planung: Zur Gestaltung von Geschäftsmodellen im E-Learning*, Dt. Univ.-Verl., Wiesbaden.
- Schreiber, F. and Felk, K. (2014). Status-Quo und Wertschöpfungsperspektiven digitaler Geschäftsmodelle in der Textilbranche. In D. Schallmo (Ed.) *Kompendium Geschäftsmodell-Innovation: Grundlagen, aktuelle Ansätze und Fallbeispiele zur erfolgreichen Geschäftsmodell-Innovation*. Springer Gabler, Wiesbaden.
- Senge, P. M. (1990). *The fifth discipline: the art and practice of the learning organization* (1st ed). New York: Doubleday/Currency.
- Shen Y. (2020). 3D Technology and Tailored Clothing. *3rd International Conference on Global Economy, Finance and Humanities Research*, Chongqing, China, May 30 – 31. Retrieved March 18, 2022, from: <https://www.claudiuspress.com/conferences/LNEMSS/GEFHR%202020/GEFHR2020022.pdf>.
- Sterman, J. D. (2000). *Business dynamics: Systems thinking and modeling for a complex world*. Mac Graw Hill, Boston.

- Stipic, N. and Seibold, J. (2020): Model for Quantification of Environmental Impact of Textile Products Within Webshops. *Proceedings of the X International Conference Industrial Engineering and Environmental Protection 2020* (IIZS 2020), Zrenjanin, Serbia, 294–301.
- Tilebein, M. (2017). New Business Models. *IoTex – Technical Brief, Issue 1*, 38–39.
- United Nations (2018). *Textile4SDG12: Transparency in Textile Value Chains in Relation to the Environmental, Social and Human Health Impacts of Parts, Components and Production Processes*. Retrieved March 18, 2022, from: <https://doi.org/10.18356/3c178e64-en>.
- Vuframe GmbH (2021). Online. *Unleash your products with interactive 3D*. Last visited March 18, 2022. URL: <https://en.vuframe.com/>.
- Waste2Wear|Vision Textiles Shanghai (n.d.). Online. Last visited March 18, 2022. URL: <https://www.waste2wear.com>
- Winkler, M., Moltenbrey, F. and Tilebein, M. (2022). Business Model Scenarios For Digital Textile Microfactories, in: Herberger, D. and Hübner, M. (Eds.) *Proceedings of the Conference on Production Systems and Logistics (CPSL 2022)* (pp. 574–582). publishing. <https://doi.org/10.15488/12141>
- Winkler, M., Stellmach, D. and Tilebein, M. (2019). Neue Szenarien der Wertschöpfung für Geschäftsmodelle in der Textilwirtschaft, in: Schröder, M. (Ed.) *Logistik im Wandel der Zeit – Von der Produktionssteuerung zu vernetzten Supply Chains* (pp. 601–626). Springer Fachmedien, Wiesbaden.
- Wirtz, B. W. and Thomas, M.-J. (2014). Design und Entwicklung der Business Model-Innovation. In D. Schallmo (Ed.) *Kompendium Geschäftsmodell-Innovation: Grundlagen, aktuelle Ansätze und Fallbeispiele zur erfolgreichen Geschäftsmodell-Innovation* (pp. 31–49). Springer Gabler, Wiesbaden.
- Zeller, M. (2014). *Analyse und Simulation von Geschäftsmodellen für Elektrizitätsvertriebsunternehmen: Untersuchungen für die Implementierung von Smart Metern*, Univ.-Verl. der TU Berlin, Berlin.
- Zott, C. and Amit, R. (2010). Business Model Design: An Activity System Perspective. *Long Range Planning* 43, 216–226.