

## **Transforming the Conflict Trap: From Theory to Practice**

Nancy Kay Hayden, PhD  
Sandia National Laboratories

36<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the System Dynamics Society  
August 6-10, 2018

### **Extended Abstract**

Even as the threat of international conflict between great powers re-emerges, violent civil conflict remains one of the greatest threats to human security and global stability. Persistent conflicts – those that have been active for twenty years or more with repeated cycles of violence and recurring civil wars– are the dominant form of armed conflict in the world today and resulted in 65 million forcibly displaced persons worldwide at the end of 2017. This record high is an increase of 20 percent from the previous year. In Africa alone, more than 35 such conflicts continue to pose the utmost challenge for conflict resolution despite investments of over a trillion dollars in peacebuilding and foreign aid by the international community, and the engagement of over 100,000 uniformed personnel in peace operations.

These conflicts raise difficult policy questions of when and how third-party interventions achieve their objectives -- considering normative, material, economic, and political factors. Creating the right balance and coordination among security assistance, military peace operations, humanitarian relief aid, and long-term peacebuilding remains an elusive goal. Are these intervention failures due to insufficient theorizing, to unsuitable policies and practices, to the fundamental intractability of the conflicts, or some combination of all? Academics, practitioners and policy makers increasingly recognize that lifting social and political systems out of conflict traps requires a systems approach. Such an approach must consider not only the nature and context of the conflict, but also the scope, timing, and dynamic interactions among different modes and types of interventions.

This research combines comparative statistical analysis of dynamic reference behaviors of 35 persistent conflicts in Africa, with qualitative causal loop modeling based on field research on the Somalia conflict to (1) examine the relationship between conflict persistence and factors associated with conflict contexts, peacekeeping and aid interventions, and (2) identify the underlying theory, principles and practices for those conflict interventions most likely to result in conflict transformation that increases human security, and those most likely to sustain conflict. Specific findings are that:

- (1) Violence in persistent armed conflicts in Africa over the past 25 years display one of four types of archetypal reference behaviors: Exponential Growth; Sustained Oscillations; repeated episodes of Overshoot-and-Collapse; or Damped Impulse (an intense but limited stimulus followed by gradual decline). The Somalia case study suggests that these behaviors scale from local to state level. Once established, the behavioral dynamics

become self-reinforcing and dictate the likelihood of conflict persistence or transformation through interventions. To interrupt these dynamics, one must understand the systemic drivers of, and limits to, conflict growth and the combined, interactive effect of interventions with these drivers and limits.

- (2) Regression analysis of the reference behaviors shows that the prospect of conflict transformation is strongly correlated with two endogenous factors, opportunity costs of conflict and gender equality, in combination with processes by which external interventions are implemented. Specifically, the likelihood of successful conflict transformation is highest when accompanied by gender empowerment and implemented through transparent, inclusive mechanisms at the local level that provide accountability, that scale from local to national levels, and that ensure coordination between security and humanitarian operations. Absent such process mechanisms, the resources provided through interventions are more likely to prolong conflict and human suffering than provide pathways for transformation.
- (3) Causal Loop Modeling, based on narratives from field interviews and previous research, shows that security and aid interventions interact at local levels to reinforce conflict structures and capacities. In so doing, they are “fixes that fail”, as they become endogenous to the conflict and contribute to the resilience of combatants. Conflict transformation must account for, and interrupt, these dependencies. For example, humanitarian aid delivery in conflict settings often requires security measures to protect aid workers and prevent cooptation of aid by combatants. Unintended consequences are:
  - a. The local economy becomes dependent on the conflict-driven demand for security measures. The creation of “security-entrepreneurs” among local populaces by the aid community has been a repeated programming challenge for NGOs and peacekeeping operations alike in East Africa.
  - b. Competing war lords are created to oversee aid distribution. Empowerment of rival warlords in Somalia, Liberia, and the Democratic Republic of Congo to help with UN aid delivery significantly increased the intensity and duration of violence in those conflicts.
  - c. Communities become isolated and vulnerable in humanitarian deserts in the wake of limited peacemaking operations that may drive combatants out, but not away. The combatants profit by imposing road taxes (“zaqat”) where they control access of aid workers to the communities. This is a common practice by Al Shabaab in Somalia and various combatants in Syria.

These findings show that expectations of interventions in persistent conflicts must be tempered by realities of the conflict setting and *appropriately* resourced and managed (not too much nor too little). Delivery of security and aid interventions must be coordinated and employ mechanisms that are inclusive, transparent, and accountable at the local level. Moreover, conflict transformation cannot occur without structural changes to break cycles of dependency on conflict resources. In short, intervention failures have as much to do with the dynamics of how they are implemented (e.g., verbs) as what they are (e.g., nouns).

Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy’s National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.

## Bibliography

- Aal, P. (2000). NGOs, conflict management and peacekeeping. *International Peacekeeping*, 7(1), 121-141.
- Anderson, E. (2013). *Towards a dynamic theory of serial insurgencies*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 31<sup>st</sup> International Conference of the System Dynamics Society, Cambridge, MA.
- Anderson, E. G. (2011). A dynamic model of counterinsurgency policy including the effects of intelligence, public security, popular support, and insurgent experience. *System Dynamics Review*, 27(2), 111-141. doi:10.1002/sdr.443
- Anderson, M. (1999). *Do no harm: How aid can support peace or war*. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- Backer, D., Wilkenfeld, J., & Huth, P. (Eds.). (2014). *Peace and conflict 2014*. Boulder, CO: Paradigm Publishers.
- Ball, N. (2014). *Putting governance at the heart of security sector reform: Lessons from the Burundi-Netherlands Security Sector Development Programme*. The Hague, Netherlands: Netherlands Institute of International Relations. Retrieved from [http://www.ciponline.org/images/uploads/publications/Putting\\_governance\\_at\\_the\\_heart\\_of\\_SSR.pdf](http://www.ciponline.org/images/uploads/publications/Putting_governance_at_the_heart_of_SSR.pdf)
- Balla, E., & Reinhardt, G. Y. (2008). Giving and receiving foreign aid: Does conflict count? *World Development*, 36(12), 2566-2585. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2008.03.008>
- Bellamy, A. J., & Williams, P. D. (2015). Trends in peace operations 1947-2013. In J. Koops, N. MacQueen, T. Tardy, & P. D. Williams (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of United Nations peacekeeping operations*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Beardsley, K. (2012). UN intervention and the duration of international crises. *Journal of Peace Research*, 49(2), 335-349. doi:10.1177/0022343311431599
- Blouin, M., & Pallage, S. (2008). Humanitarian relief and civil conflict. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 52(4), 548-565. doi:10.1177/0022002708316742
- Bradbury, M., Menkhaus, K., & Marchal, R. (2001). *Somalia human development report*. New York: United Nations Development Program. Retrieved from [http://planipolis.iiep.unesco.org/upload/Somalia/Somalia\\_2001\\_HDR\\_en.pdf](http://planipolis.iiep.unesco.org/upload/Somalia/Somalia_2001_HDR_en.pdf)
- Buhaug, H., & Lujala, P. (2005). Accounting for scale: Measuring geography in quantitative studies of civil war. *Political Geography*, 24(4), 399-418. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2005.01.006>
- Choi, S. W., & Salehyan, I. (2013). No good deed goes unpunished: Refugees, humanitarian aid, and terrorism. *Conflict Management and Peace Science*, 30(1), 53-75. doi:10.1177/0738894212456951
- Cliffe, S., & Roberts, N. (2011). *World development report 2011: Conflict, security, and development*. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from [http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTWDRS/Resources/WDR2011\\_Overview.pdf](http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTWDRS/Resources/WDR2011_Overview.pdf)
- Choucri, N., Goldsmith, D., Madnick, S. E., Mistree, D., Morrison, J. B., & Siegel, M. D. (2007). *Using System Dynamics to Model and Better Understand State Stability*. Working Paper. Composite Information Systems Laboratory (CISL). Sloan School of Management,

- Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Cambridge, MA. Retrieved from <http://web.mit.edu/smadnick/www/wp/2007-03.pdf>
- Collier, P., & Hoeffler, A. (2001). *Data issues in the study of conflict*. Paper presented at the Conference on data collection on armed conflict, Uppsala, Sweden. <http://www.csae.ox.ac.uk/econdata/pdfs/edds2002-01.pdf> *International Studies Review*, 11(4), 707-735. doi:10.2307/40389163
- Collier, P., Hoeffler, A., & Sambanis, N. (2005). The Collier-Hoeffler model of civil War onset. In P. Collier & N. Sambanis (Eds.), *Understanding civil war: Evidence and analysis*. NY: The World Bank.
- Collier, P., & Dollar, D. (2004a). Development effectiveness: what have we learnt?. *The Economic Journal*, 114(496), F244-F271. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0297.2004.00221.x
- Collier, P., & Hoeffler, A. (2004b). Aid, policy and growth in post-conflict societies. *European Economic Review*, 48(5), 1125-1145.
- Coyle, J. M., Exelby, D., & Holt, J. (1999). System dynamics in defence analysis: Some case studies. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 50(4), 372-382.
- Coyle, R. G. (1985). A system description of counter insurgency warfare. *Policy Sciences*, 18(1), 55-78. doi:10.2307/4532037
- Coyle, R. G. (1998). The practice of system dynamics: Milestones, lessons and ideas from 30 years of experience. *System Dynamics Review*, 14(4), 343-365.
- de Ree, J., & Nillesen, E. (2009). Aiding violence or peace? The impact of foreign aid on the risk of civil conflict in sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Development Economics*, 88(2), 301-313. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2008.03.005>
- Díaz, F. A. (2008). Rethinking The Conflict Trap: Systems Dynamics as a tool to understanding civil wars -the case of Colombia. Paper presented at the 26th International Conference of the Systems Dynamics Society, Athens, Greece. [http://www.systemdynamics.org/conferences/2008/proceed/papers/DIAZ\\_343.pdf](http://www.systemdynamics.org/conferences/2008/proceed/papers/DIAZ_343.pdf)
- Dixon, J. (2009). What causes civil wars? Integrating quantitative research findings.
- Doyle, M., & Sambanis, N. (2006). *Making war and building peace: UN peace operations*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Gizelis, T.-I., & Kosek, K. E. (2005). *Why humanitarian interventions succeed or fail: The role of local articipation*. New York: Sage Publications.
- Hayden, N. (2014). *Resilience in civil conflict and implications for intervention policies: An integrative systems approach to policy design*. Paper presented at the 32nd International Conference of the System Dynamics Society, Delft, Netherlands. <http://www.systemdynamics.org/conferences/2014/index.html>
- Hewitt, J. J., Wilkenfeld, J., & Gurr, T. R. (2008). *Peace and conflict 2008*. Retrieved from College Park, MD: <http://www.cidm.md.edu/pc>
- Hicks, R. L. (2011). More dollars than sense: Refining our knowledge of development finance using AidData. *World Development*, 39(11), 1891-1906.
- Hirsch, J. L., & Oakely, R. B. (1995). *Somalia and Operation Restore Hope: Reflections on peacemaking and peacekeeping*. Washington DC: United States Institute of Peace.
- Hirshleifer, J. (2001). *The dark side of the force: Economic foundations of conflict theory*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

- Howe, H. (1997). Lessons of Liberia: ECOMOG and Regional Peacekeeping. *International Security*, 21(3), 145-176. doi:10.1162/isec.21.3.145
- Hultman, L., Kathman, J., & Shannon, M. (2014). Beyond keeping peace: United Nations effectiveness in the midst of fighting. *American Political Science Review*, 17.
- Human security report 2009-2010: The causes of peace and the shrinking costs of war.* (2011). Retrieved from <http://www.hsrgroup.org/human-security-reports/20092010/overview.aspx>
- Kathman, J. D. (2013). United Nations peacekeeping personnel commitments, 1990–2011. *Conflict Management and Peace Science*, 30(5), 532-549. doi:10.1177/0738894213491180
- Korb, L. (2008). Foreign aid and security: A renewed debate? . In L. A. Picard, R. Groelsema, & T. F. Buss (Eds.), *Foreign aid and foreign oolicy: Lessons for the next half-century*. Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe.
- Menkhaus, K. (1996). International peacebuilding and the dynamics of local and national reconciliation in Somalia. *International Peacekeeping*, 3(1), 42-67. doi:10.1080/13533319608413596
- Mohamud, A., & Kurtz, J. (2013). *What really matters for resilience? Exploratory evidence from southern Somalia*. Portland, OR: Mercy Corps. Retrieved from [https://www.mercycorps.org/sites/default/files/WhatReallyMattersForResilienceSomaliaNov2013\\_0.pdf](https://www.mercycorps.org/sites/default/files/WhatReallyMattersForResilienceSomaliaNov2013_0.pdf).
- Newman, E. (2009). Conflict research and the "decline" of civil war. *Civil Wars*, 11(3), 255-278.
- Nielsen, R. A., Findley, M. G., Davis, Z. S., Candland, T., & Nielson, D. L. (2011). Foreign aid shocks as a cause of violent armed conflict. *American Journal of Political Science*, 55(2), 219-232. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2010.00492.x
- Oker, I. A. A., & Habibullah, S. A. I. H. (2008). *Community-based peace processes in south-central Somalia*. Geneva, Switzerland: Interpeace.
- Orr, R. (1992). American democratization policy and development assistance to Africa. *Journal of Public and International Affairs*, 3(Spring), 7-22.
- Perry, C., & Smith, A. C. (2013). *Trends in uniformed contributions to UN peacekeeping: A new dataset, 1991-2012*. Retrieved from [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=2358162](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2358162).
- Pickering, J., & Kisangani, E. F. (2009). The international military intervention dataset: An updated resource for conflict scholars. *Journal of Peace Research*, 46(4), 589-599. doi:10.2307/25654438
- Poole, W. S. (2005). *The effort to save Somalia: August 1992-March 1994*. Washington, DC: Joint History Office, Office of the Chairman of the Joints Chief of Staff.
- Richardson, J. (2005). *Paradise poisoned: Learning about conflict, terrorism and development from Sri Lanka's civil wars*. Sri Lanka: Karunaratne & Sons.
- Sambanis, N. (2002). A review of recent advances and future directions in the quantitative literature on civil war. *Defense and Peace Economics*, 13(3), 215-243.
- Sambanis, N., & Schulhofer-Wohl, J. (2008). Evaluating multilateral interventions in civil wars: A comparison of UN and Non-UN peace operations. In D. Bourantonis, K. Ifantis, & P. Tsakonas (Eds.), *Multilateralism and security institutions in the era of gobalization*. London: Routledge.

- Schirch, L. (2013). *Conflict assessment and peacebuilding planning: Toward a participatory approach to human security*: Lynne Reinner.
- Scott, J. M., & Steele, C. A. (2011). Sponsoring democracy: The United States and democracy aid to the developing world, 1988-2001. *International Studies Quarterly*, 55(1), 47-69. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2478.2010.00635.x
- Strandow, D. (2014). *Fighting for aid: Foreign funding and civil conflict intensity*. (Doctoral dissertation). Uppsala, Sweden: Uppsala University. Retrieved from <http://uu.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:742897/FULLTEXT01.pdf>
- Tierney, M. J., Nielson, D. L., Hawkins, D. G., Roberts, J. T., Findley, M. G., Powers, R. M., . . . Urquhart, B. (2007). Limits on the use of force. In F. O. Hampson, C. A. Crocker, and P. Aall (Eds.), *Leashing the dogs of war: Conflict management in a divided world* (pp. 265-276). Washington DC: United States Institute of Peace Press.
- UNOCHA. (2013). *Humanitarian funding* [amounts requested and funding allocated]. financial tracking at country level; Relief Web gross statistics for country level funding received. Retrieved from: <http://reliefweb.int/>
- USAID. (2013). *The resilience agenda: Measuring resilience in USAID*. Retrieved from [https://www.usaid.gov/sites/default/files/documents/1866/Technical%20Note\\_Measuring%20Resilience%20in%20USAID\\_June%202013.pdf](https://www.usaid.gov/sites/default/files/documents/1866/Technical%20Note_Measuring%20Resilience%20in%20USAID_June%202013.pdf)