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Abstract  
In the book “Money and Macroeconomic Dynamics” by this author, our current economic system, being dubbed as the debt money system, is shown to be currently facing systemic failures of financial and debt crises, and, as its alternative system, a public money system is proposed. Yet, a transition process from the debt money system to the public money system has been left unanalyzed, though vehemently called for by those who wish to implement the alternative economic system. Under the situation, this paper discusses its transition process by constructing a simple macroeconomic model based on the accounting system dynamics. It turns out that this model can briefly handle main features of the debt money system, in 8 steps, that cause “booms and depressions”, debt accumulation and failures of recent quantitative easing financial policy. It then offers a transition process to the public money system in 6 steps. These analyses are carried out by focusing on the behaviors of monetary base and money supply as their rationales are laid out in the above book.

1 Debt vs Public Money System in a Nutshell  
In the book “Money and Macroeconomic Dynamics” [4, 2013], the current macroeconomic system, being dubbed as the debt money system, has been shown to be currently facing systemic failures of possible financial meltdown, defaults and hyper-inflation; that is, it has been analyzed as a dead-end system. As its alternative system that can overcome these systemic failures, a public money system is proposed as having the following three features:

– Governmental control over the issue of money
– Abolishment of credit creation with full (100%) reserve ratio

*This paper is presented on Tuesday, July 22, in the parallel session of monetary economics at the 32nd International Conference of the System Dynamics Society, Delft, Netherlands, July 20 - 24, 2014.
• Constant flow of money into circulation to sustain economic growth and welfare

In Chapter 15 of the book, the comparative analyses of these two system structures and their behaviors are succinctly summarized. Yet, a transition process from the debt money system to the public money system is left unanalyzed, though vehemently called for by those who wish to implement the public money system. The purpose of this paper is, therefore, to present a transition process to the public money system of macroeconomy in order to get out of the current dead-end system.

System Structures

For the readers who are not familiar with these two systems mentioned above, let us start with a brief description of these systems. Table 1, excerpted from Chapter 15, encapsulates the system structures of these two systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Public Money System</th>
<th>Debt Money System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Money Issuer</td>
<td>Public Money Administration</td>
<td>Central Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Its Owner</td>
<td>Government</td>
<td>Private Banks and Financiers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Reserves</td>
<td>100% Reserve</td>
<td>Fractional Reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>Interest-free</td>
<td>Interest-bearing Debt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Public Money vs Debt Money System Structures

System Behaviors

System structures of the public and debt money thus outlined above produce very different system behaviors. These system behaviors are compared in Table 2. The detailed descriptions are referred to Chapter 15 of the book mentioned above.

2 Volatile Behaviors of Debt Money System

In order to present a transition process, we have constructed a simple macroeconomic model, consisting of four sectors such as central bank, commercial banks, producers and government, on the basis of the analytical method of accounting system dynamics developed by the author. Consumer sector is not included here as inessential for the purpose of this paper.
The model thus constructed turned out to be able to describe main features of the debt money system such as “booms and depressions”, debt accumulation and quantitative easing, etc., by focusing on the behaviors of monetary base and money supply. Accordingly, our analysis in this section starts with the presentation of these features of the debt money system in the following 8 steps.

**Table 2: Public Money vs Debt Money System Behaviors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Public Money System</th>
<th>Debt Money System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monetary Stability</td>
<td>Stable Money Supply</td>
<td>Bubbles and Credit Crunches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stable Price Level</td>
<td>Inflation &amp; Deflation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Stability</td>
<td>No Bank-runs</td>
<td>Business Cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Booms and Depressions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>Full Employment</td>
<td>Involuntary Unemployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Debt</td>
<td>No Government Debt</td>
<td>Built-in Debt Accumulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>→ Recession &amp; Unemployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inequality</td>
<td>Income Inequality between Workers and Capitalists</td>
<td>Income Inequality between Financiers and Non-financiers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>Sustainability is Possible</td>
<td>Accumulated Debt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>→ Forced Growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>→ Environmental Destruction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**(0) Initial Base Money into Circulation (t=0): M=180**

Let us assume that our simple macroeconomy sets out with the base money of $180 billions\(^1\) which is initially put into circulation. Figure 1 illustrates how the initial base money is booked both as the asset of the balance sheet of the central bank and as its liability of currency outstanding.

---

\(^1\) The unit of billions of dollar will be hereafter omitted.
it is defined as the sum of currency outstanding and demand deposits under the public money system, as displayed in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Definitions of Money Supply

Money supply at this stage is thus depicted as $M=180$ in the subsection title.

(1) Fractional Reserve Banking System $(t=5): M=680$

Now suppose a portion of initial base money, say $100$, is deposited as savings out of the currency in circulation, and commercial banks hold this full amount as their reserve with the central bank. Under the fractional reserve banking system, this amount allows credit creation out of nothing according to the following formula:

$$\text{Credit Creation (Banks)} = \frac{1 - \beta}{\beta} \text{Reserves (Banks)}$$

(1)

where $\beta$ is a required reserve ratio. The required reserve ratio in our economy is assumed to be 10%. Then, the maximum amount of credits to be created by the banks becomes $900 \left(= \frac{1 - 0.1}{0.1} \times 100 \right)$.

Under the debt money system, however, credits can be created only when someone in the economy comes to borrow. Let us assume that producers come to borrow $500 for their real investment. Then, their deposit account is instantaneously opened up with $500$ being typed in by the computer keyboard of the banks, instead of $500$ being handed over directly to the producers in cash. In this way, $500$ is newly created, through the fractional reserve banking system, out of nothing to provide the investment activities. As a result, money supply in the economy now increases to $M=680$. Figures 4 and 12 illustrate these transaction processes. Numerical numbers (in red, green etc.) that appear in the stock boxes of the Figures hereafter represent the amount of stocks that exist at each step.

Due to this process of credit creation, the fractional reserve banking system has been historically justified by its proponents as an efficient system of providing enough funds to meet the need for growing economy. They pose that without the fractional reserve banking system our economy could not have developed as it has been today.
(2) Making Bubbles (t=10): M=1,080

Yet, this fractional banking system has been a root cause of “booms and depressions” as Irving Fisher and five co-authors of the “Program for Monetary Reform (1939)” claim in its section 9:

(9) Fractional reserves give our thousands of commercial banks the power to increase or decrease the volume of our circulating medium by increasing or decreasing bank loans and investments. The banks thus exercise what has always, and justly, been considered a prerogative of sovereign power. As each bank exercises this power independently without any centralized control, the resulting changes in the volume of the circulating medium are largely haphazard. This situation is a most important factor in booms and depressions [1, p.19].

Under the fractional reserve banking system, bubbles could be easily created by making inessential (unproductive) loans to the financial and real estate sectors who are eager to borrow money whenever favorable loan conditions such as low interest rates are offered. Such aggressive loans have been beneficial to the banks as well for further streams of their interest incomes.

In our economy, the maximum loanable credits are $900, out of which $500 is already loaned to the producers for real investment. Let us now assume that the additional loans of $400 are made for financial investment such as stocks and real estates. Figures 5 and 12 show how values of financial assets bubble to $400. Deposits of the banks increase to the maximum amount of credits of $900, out of which banks can derive maximum amount of interest incomes. Money supply at this step increases to M=1,080.

(3) Bubbles Burst and Bank-runs (t=14): M=990

Bubbles always pop! As a result, financial assets of producers ($400) become valueless, and their net assets suffers from the deficits of -$400, yet their accumulated debts remain as high as $900.

The immediate consequence of the burst of bubbles may be the bank-runs by depositors. In our economy, depositors are assumed to withdraw $10, and accordingly bank deposits are constrained to shrink by $90 (= (1 - 0.1)/0.1 x $10), and money supply to $990 from $1,080. Figures 6 and 12 show how financial assets collapse and bank-runs occur.

Irving Fisher observed this shrinkage of money supply as follows:

The boom and depression since 1926 are largely epitomized by these three figures (in billions of dollars) – 26, 27, 20 – for the three years 1926, 1929, 1933.

The changes in quantity were chiefly in the deposits. The three figures for the check-book money were, as stated, 22, 23, 15; those for the pocket-book money were 4, 4, 5. An essential part of this
depression has been the shrinkage from the 23 to the 15 billions in check-book money, that is, the wiping out of 8 billions of dollars of the nation’s chief circulating medium which we all need as a common highway for business.

The shrinkage of 8 billions in the nation’s check-book money reflects the increase of 1 billion (i.e., from 4 to 5) in pocket-book money. The public withdrew this billion of cash from the banks and the banks, to provide it, had to destroy the 8 billions of credit.

This loss, or destruction, of 8 billions of check-book money has been realized by few and seldom mentioned (Italics are emphasized by the author). [2, pp. 5,6]

Check-book money here is the same as demand deposits, and pocket-money implies currency outstanding (and in circulation). Thus, in a similar fashion, $90 in bank deposits is “destroyed” by the bank-run of $10 that entered into the currency outstanding in our economy. Indeed, the fractional reserve banking system has become a root cause of booms and depressions.

Whenever bank-runs are triggered, banks as credit lenders are forced to withdraw deposits, causing credit crunch. This type of credit crunch is depicted as the loop of Lenders Credit Crunch in Figure 3. Depression of this type, however, has been avoided thanks to the introduction of deposit insurance by governments in 1930s after the Great Depression.

(4) Credit Crunch (t=17): M=790

On the other hand, another type of depressions caused by the shrinkage of money supply or credit crunch has been observed recently by Richard Koo [3]. He called this type of credit crunch “Balance Sheet Recessions.” This type of credit crunch is depicted as the loop of Borrowers Credit Crunch in Figure 3. Whenever bubbles burst, negative net assets in the balance sheet become obstacles to the producers to continue their business activities. Accordingly, they are forced to repay their debt at all cost to restore their sound balance sheet. For instance, they may be forced to reimburse their debt partially, we assume, by feeding in $200 out of their operating revenues. This reimbursement reduces their net assets to -$200 (= -$400 + $200), and their debt decreases to $700 from $900.

This repayment simultaneously reduces their bank deposits by $200, and bank assets of loans to $610. As a result, money supply of the economy reduces to $790 from $900; that is, M=790, and credit crunch of $200 is triggered as illustrated by Figures 7 and 12.

Reduction of debt is a favorable management to restore healthy state of the balance sheet at the microeconomic level, yet it causes credit crunch at the macroeconomic level collectively, which plummets GDP and triggers depressions and unemployment. In other words, debt money system of fractional reserve banking constitutes to be a root cause of “booms and depressions” since the Great Depression in 1929.
(5) Issuing Government Securities (t=20): M=1,190

In the wake of economic depressions caused by credit crunches, government is forced to bail out financially troubled producers by newly issuing securities; that is to say, it is forced to borrow from the banks. This is illustrated as the loop of Fiscal Policy in Figure 3. In our economy here we assume that the government issues securities of $400. As a result, loan assets of the banks increases by $400 and their deposits increase to $1,010, as illustrated by Figures 8 and 12.

Under the debt money system, money supply only increases whenever someone comes to borrow from banks. This time the government comes to borrow, instead of the financially troubled producers. In this way, money supply has temporarily increased to, say, M=1,190.

(6) Bailout → Debt Accumulation (t=22): M=790

The government is now forced to spend this newly-raised fund to bail out the financially troubled private sectors. Assume that producers receive the amount of $400 as bailouts and use it to reimburse their debt. Accordingly, their net assets now recovers to $200(=-$200 + $400) and their debt reduces to $300.
Figure 4: (1) Fractional Reserve Banking System
Credit Creation = \( \frac{(1 - \text{Reserve Ratio}) \times \text{Reserves (Banks)}}{\text{Reserve Ratio}} \)
Credit Creation = (1 - Reserve Ratio) / Reserve Ratio * Reserves (Banks)

Public Money System: We Are All Happy 120%

Debt Money System: We Are Unhappy 99%

Figure 6: (3) Bubble Burst and Bank-runs
Figure 7: (4) Credit Crunch → Depressions
Credit Creation = (1 - Reserve Ratio) / Reserve Ratio * Reserves (Banks)

Deposits - Required Reserve Ratio

Bank-run Amount

Figure 8: (5) Issuing Securities → Restore Money Supply
This reimbursement simultaneously reduces the deposits of banks to the previous level of $610, and money supply shrinks to the level before the issuance of securities by the government; that is, \( M=790 \), only leaving the government debt of $400! Figures 9 and 12 show these behaviors.

Since money supply remains at the same level in spite of the huge amount of government debt expenditures, economy fails to be reactivated. This is exactly what happened to the Japanese economy between 1990 and 2010, causing long-term depressions of the so-called “Lost Two Decades”. On the other hand, government debt continued to accumulate. This debt accumulation is exactly what happened among many OECD countries, specifically after the collapse of Lehman Brothers in 2008.

The accumulation of government debt under the fractional reserve banking system was warned as early as 1930s by the Irving Fisher, etc, as the following statement of section 17 demonstrates:

\[
(17a) \text{Under the present fractional reserve system, the only way to provide the nation with circulating medium for its growing needs is to add continually to our Government’s huge bonded debt } \text{(1, pp.39,40).}
\]

(7) Collapse of Securities \(\rightarrow\) Defaults

Accumulated debts of the government eventually causes difficulties of further borrowing by the government, which forces to raise interest rates, which sooner or later leads to the collapses of security prices, triggering bank insolvencies.

Simultaneously, these chaotic situations of possible financial meltdown makes it difficult for the government to repay its accumulated debt, which means defaults of the government. Figure 10 illustrates the case of bank insolvencies due to the deficit of net assets of banks (illustrated as a shaded stock). The reader may revisit the causal loop analysis of these situations in Figure 12.2 in Chapter 12 of the book [4].

(8) Financial Quantitative Easing (QE) \( (t=25) \): \( M=790 \)

In this way, after the financial crises of Lehman shock in 2008, which we have called “the Second Great Depression”, traditional fiscal and monetary policies of Keynesian economics have totally failed to function. The prolonged economic depression of the lost two decades in Japan is called the “Balance Sheet Recessions” by Richard Koo [3].

Under the circumstances, the only policy left to the government is to ask the central bank to expand its monetary base through the purchase of government securities, with an expectation that the increased monetary base will increase banks’ loans and money supply in due course. This policy is called “quantitative easing (QE)”, which is illustrated as the loop of QE Policy in Figure 3.
Credit Creation = (1 - Reserve Ratio) / Reserve Ratio

Public Money System: We Are All Happy 120%

Debt Money System: We Are Unhappy 99%

Figure 9: (6) Bailout → Accumulated Debt
Figure 10: (7) Collapse of Securities
Figure 11: (8) Financial Quantitative Easing (QE)
In Figure 11, the central bank is shown to have purchased government securities of $100, and banks’ reserves increased by the same amount. The purpose of this QE policy is the expectation of new credit creation up to the additional $1,000 (= $100/0.1).

Unfortunately, the quantitative easing failed to increase money supply, simply because banks become extremely reluctant to make loans to the financially troubled producers, and relatively healthy producers are forced to reimburse their accumulated debts out of their operating cash flow under the current economic recessions. This implies that the reinforcing loop of the Balance Sheet Recessions in Figure 3 dominates the balancing loop of QE Policy so that the increase in Monetary Base fails to expand Credits (Deposits). In this way, as illustrated in Figure 12, the expected QE policy failed to stimulate the economic activities such as consumption and investment demand, leaving the GDP in a stagnated state.

Unstable Money Supply under the Debt Money System

Behaviors of the debt money system are now investigated collectively in terms of monetary base and money supply. It is emphasized in the book [4] that money sits all the time in the center of macroeconomic activities so that the availability of sufficient money stock is crucial to the sustained economic activities.

Figure 12 illustrates the behaviors of money supply as well as monetary base from the step 0 through step 8; that is, t=0~30. Under the fractional reserve banking system, monetary base (line 1) creates its multiple amount of money supply (line 2) out of nothing. Monetary base and money supply can coincide only when required reserve ratio becomes 100% under the public money system,
as to be discussed below. The reader can confirm the unification of two lines by changing the economic values of model sliders that are illustrated in Figure 13.

![Figure 13: Debt Money System: Parameters](image)

Fluctuation of money supply can be confirmed by changing the values of initial base money, saving amount, required reserve ratio, bank-run amount and operating revenues for repayment. This indicates that money supply under the debt money system gets fluctuated by these factors, many of which are not under the control of the central bank and government. Accordingly, booms and depressions are frequently triggered by these changes in money supply.

On the other hand, changes in the values of government securities and QE amount also fail to increase money supply, indicating the failures of the Keynesian monetary and fiscal policies. Indeed, the current debt money system is dead-end, and has to be replaced with more stable and sustainable public money system.

### 3 A Transition to the Public Money System

**(T1) Public Money Conversion (t=31): Base Money=88**

Let us now explore a transition process to the public money system from the current dead-end debt money system. As already pointed out in section 1, three conditions have to be met to attain the public money system. First condition is the following:

- Governmental control over the issue of money.

To meet this condition, privately-owned central bank has to be legally converted to the publicly-owned organization, which we have called the Public Money Administration (PMA). The PMA is, then, able to create public money, consisting of coins and public notes as legal tender. This legal step has to be done in a democratic manner through our legal process of establishing a new monetary law such as the Public Money Act, for instance.

As pointed out in the footnote of Chapter 12 [4, pp.369-370], on Dec. 17, 2010, a bill based on the American Monetary Act was introduced to the US House Committee on Financial Services as H.R. 6550 by the congressman Dennis Kucinich. This bill is called “The National Emergency Employment Defense Act
of 2010 (NEED Act)². The bill was re-submitted on Sept. 21, 2011 as H.R. 2990 by the congressman Dennis Kucinich. This NEED Act is exactly to implement the public money system in the United States.

To promote a smooth conversion of the currency outstanding to the public money upon the implementation of the Public Money Act, it becomes more effective, we pose, if a favorable exchange rate between the current debt money and the public money is offered such that

\[\$10 \text{ (Debt Money)} = \$11 \text{ (Public Money)}.\] (2)

10% increase in the amount of base money would not only encourage the currency conversion faster but also stimulate the discouraged consumption and reactivate the economy. Figure 14 illustrates the conversion process of currency outstanding so that its original amount of $80 (before the bank-runs) increases to $88.

(T2) Securities as Reserves Collateral (t=31): \(M(p)=588\)

Next transition step is to implement the second condition of the public money system:

- Abolishment of credit creation with full (100%) reserve ratio,

and attain 100% money according to the Irving Fisher [2]. He vehemently proposed this process as follows:

Let the Government, through an especially created “Currency Commission,” turn into cash enough of the assets of every commercial bank to increase the cash reserve of each bank up to 100% of its checking deposits. In other words, let the Government, through the Currency Commission, issue this money, and with it, buy some of the bonds, notes, or other assets of the bank or lend it to the banks on those assets as security². Then all check-book money would have actual money – pocket-book money – behind it. [2, p.9]

Since this process may turn out to be a source of confusion, let us explain this transition process in three steps; that is, T2, T3 and T4. Let us being with the step T2 here. For the implementation of 100% reserves, it is essential at this stage to classify deposits into two types of deposits: demand (and checking account) deposits and time deposits. Demand deposits were called “check-book money” by Irving Fisher. Under the full reserve ratio, banks are only required to hold demand deposits fully and are not allowed to make loans out of them. That is, demand deposits are owned by the depositors and banks only keep them safely on behalf of the depositors for the convenience of their transaction payments.

²In practice, this could be mostly "credit" on the books of the Commission, as very little tangible money would be called for – less even than at present, so long as the Currency Commission stood ready to supply it on request.
On the other hand, time deposits are trusted with the banks, which in turn invest them on risky projects for higher returns. In this way, time deposits become the main source of loans for banks, and time depositors share the returns from the investment as well as losses.

Hence, 100% reserves only imply the 100% reserves of demand deposits. In our economy, let us assume that among the deposits of $700, $500 are demand deposits and $200 are time deposits, while the current bank reserves are $200. (We have started with the public money supply of $M(p)=588; that is, demand deposits of $500 and currency outstanding of $88). Under the situation, if 100% reserves are required in the transition process to the public money system, banks have to raise additional $300 to attain 100% reserves. In reality, almost all banks will have to face similar situations when the public money system is implemented.

There are two paths that meet this 100% reserves. The first path is to allow banks to borrow public money unconditionally from the PMA at zero interest for unlimited period until they can reimburse the debt out of their financial assets such as loans, government securities, corporate stocks and bonds (since most of these financial assets are purchased by banks as financial investment through their credit creation processes out of nothing). The second path is to allow the banks to convert government securities they hold to the required reserves. This path will reduce liability burdens to the banks, compared with the first path.

Accordingly, we recommend the second path, because in reality banks hold enough government securities to cover their demand deposits. For instance, Japanese banks as a whole hold government securities of about 500 trillion yen, while their demand deposits are around the same amount. Therefore, they need not borrow money from the PMA. In our economy, banks hold $300 of government securities, which are now converted to the reserve assets as illustrated in Figure 15. Then, the securities assets of the central bank (now the PMA) becomes $400. This transition can be easily carried out without causing any troubles.

Moreover, banks can get benefits from this conversion of government securities to the collateral of full reserves, because they can avoid possible collapse of security values to be triggered by financial and debt crises in the future; that is to say, once their securities are converted, securities values can remain frozen against the risk of defaults in the future. At the same time, interest incomes from the securities are guaranteed by the PMA until they become due.

In this way financial sector is stabilized as Irving Fisher claims:

I have come to believe that the plan, "properly worked out and applied, is incomparably the best proposal ever offered for speedily and permanently solving the problem of depressions; for it would remove the chief cause of both booms and depressions, namely the instability of demand deposits, tied as they are now, to bank loans."

[2, p.xviii]
(T3) Temporal Increase in Base Money (t=33): M(p)=588

Next the PMA newly issues public money of $400, which is put into the net assets of the government balance sheet as well as its deposits assets. Simultaneously, the Public Money assets of the PMA is increased by the same amount, which is also balanced by the Government Reserves as its liability. Accordingly, monetary base temporarily increases to $988, yet public money supply stay the same at M(p)=588, as illustrated in Figures 16 and 20.

(T4) Debt Liquidation (t=35): M(p)=588

Government now spends the deposits of $400 to liquidate its debt of $400. In the PMA’s balance sheet, Securities Asset is cleared, which is in turn balanced by the same amount of reduction from the Government Reserves as illustrated in Figure 17. Accordingly, monetary base reduces to the original amount of $588, and again coincides with the public money supply of M(p)=588 as illustrated in Figure 20. Hence, the liquidation of government debts by printing public money electronically does not increase money supply, simply because the public money banks have received electronically stay as their bank reserves at the PMA. Therefore, no inflation is triggered at all under the liquidation of the government debt!

This liquidation process of the government debt is explained by Irving Fisher, etc. as follows.

(17b) As already noted, a by-product of the 100% reserve system would be that it would enable the Government gradually to reduce its debt, through purchases of Government bonds by the Monetary Authority as new money was needed to take care of expanding business [1, p.41].

(T5) Time Deposits Conversion (t=37): M(p)=588

In this way, through the steps of T2 through T4 demand deposits of $500 are fully backed by the 100% reserves in our economy. As the next step, the conversion of time deposits of $200 can be easily done by simply regarding them as the time deposits of public money without further transactional changes as illustrated in Figure 18. This conversion surely does not change public money supply.

Under the public money system, loans are made out of time deposits (cash), and repayments of loans implies the increase in cash assets. Accordingly, no credit crunches occur under the public money system. The public money, once put into circulation, stays in the economy, causing no bubbles and recessions.
Figure 14: (T1) Conversion to the Public Money
Figure 15: (T2) Securities as Reserves Collateral
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loans</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency Outstanding</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QE Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Credit Creation**

\[ \text{Credit Creation} = \frac{1 - \text{Reserve Ratio}}{\text{Reserve Ratio}} \times \text{Reserves (Banks)} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QE Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QE Amount</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Public Money System:**

\[ \text{Public Money Issued} = 100 \times (100 - 90) = 1000 \]

**Debt Money System:**

\[ \text{Debt Money Issued} = 100 \times (90 - 80) = 1000 \]

**Figure 16:** (T3) Public Money Issued
Figure 17: (T4) Debt Liquidation: Money Supply Unchanged
Figure 18: (T5) Public Money Converted to Time Deposits
Public Money Added to Circulation ($t=40$): $M(p)=888$

The third condition of the public money system is the following:

- Constant flow of money into circulation to sustain economic growth and welfare.

Public money can be further put into circulation according to the need for economic growth and government expenditure for welfare, etc. Let us assume that the additional amount of $300 is put into circulation. This amount is first put into Deposits and Net Assets accounts of the Government, and Public Money and Reserves(Government) accounts of the PMA as in the process of (T3). Then, whenever government spends it out of its Deposits (and Net Assets), it is simultaneously put into the Currency Outstanding account out of the Reserves(Government) according to the PMA’s double bookkeeping rule.

Figure 19 only illustrates the final process of putting the additional amount of public money into circulation under the PMA balance sheet. Then, Figure 20 shows that the public money supply is increased to $P(p)=888^3$.

Stable Money Supply under the Public Money System

We have now successfully presented a transition process from the debt money system to the public money system in 6 steps. Figure 20 illustrates this transition process in terms of the changes in money supply.

Let us review the entire process over 50 years (time unit of year used in the model does not necessarily apply to the actual length of year).

**Debt Money System ($t=0\sim30$)** This is the period of booms and depressions, caused by the fractional reserve banking system; that is, monetary base (line 1) is utilized to create unstable money supply (line 2) out of nothing, generating volatile money supply.

**Transition Period ($t=31\sim37$)** This is the period of transition from the current debt money system to the public money system; that is, bank credits are converted to the 100% money, and government debt (line 4) is liquidated without causing inflation and chaos!

**Public Money System ($t=38\sim50$)** This is the period of monetary stability; that is, stable public money supply (line 1 = line 2) is attained by unifying monetary base (line 1) and money supply (line 2) under the debt money system.

As the reader can easily identify, under the public money system monetary base (line 1) and money supply (line 2) do no longer get split under the public

---

3To be precise, if time deposits are further added to this public money supply, we have $M(p)1=888$ and $M(p)2=1,088$, respectively. On the other hand, $M1$ and $M2$ have not been distinguished in our debt money system so that $M1=M2=790$. 
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money system, and money supply becomes all the time equal to the monetary base. This implies that tangible public money, once put into circulation, keeps circulating in the economy constantly, and does not inflate or deflate. This stability of money supply can accomplish monetary and financial stability, as claimed by monetary reform economists, including those who proposed the Chicago Plan as early as in 1930's.

However, it does not imply that the public money system fully secure monetary and financial stability and becomes free from “booms and depressions”. Yet, as I have demonstrated in Chapter 13 of the book [4], monetary and financial instabilities, if triggered, can be better managed by simply applying public money policies under the public money system than traditional Keynesian monetary and fiscal policies under the current debt money system. Figure 21 illustrates how monetary stabilization is attained in a very simple but effective way, compared with the complicated loops under the debt money system in Figure 3 such as credit crunches of lenders and borrowers, balance sheet recessions, monetary and fiscal policies and QE policy.

In addition, other advantages obtained from the behaviors of the public money system summarized in the section 1 such as full employment, debt-free government, income equality and sustainability, provide another rationales for our advancing this transition process urgently toward the public money system, without losing time to create a better world.
Conclusion

This paper tries to propose a transition process from the debt money system to the public money system. For this purpose, comparative analyses between these two systems are briefly summarized. Then, a simple macroeconomic model is constructed on the basis of accounting system dynamics in order to focus on the comparative behaviors of money supply.

This simple macroeconomic model turned out to be powerful enough to convince why our current debt money system has become a dead-end systemic failure. Specifically, booms and depressions, accumulation of government debts, and failures of quantitative easing are systematically explained to be caused by the privately-owned central bank and the fractional reserve banking system that creates credits out of nothing; that is, the current debt money system itself 4.

Then, a transition process to the public money system is explained in 6 steps. It is shown that this transition process can be carried out peacefully without causing inflation and systemic chaos. It is our hope that under the public money system we will be finally freed from the calamities of debt money system, and be able to establish peaceful societies for the welfare of humanity, present and future. This completes the author’s long journey for a better world as explored in the book [4, 2013].

Moreover, it has been, for centuries, the root cause of many socio-economic instabilities and disasters, though not analyzed here, such as unemployment, inequality, wars, and environmental destruction.
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